EXHIBIT A



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	90/007,878 01/17/2006		01/17/2006	5515154	067448-0000004	1031	
	24201	7590	12/21/2006		EXAM	INER	
	FULWIDER 6060 CENTE				•		
	10TH FLOOI		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LOS ANGEL	ES. CA	90045				

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspro.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102

EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/007,878.

PATENT NO. <u>5515154</u>.

ART UNIT 3993.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

Case 1:98-cv-00080-5ER Do	Control No. 90/007,878	Patent Under Reexamination 5515154					
Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination	Examiner	Art Unit					
Sara S. Clarke 3993							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
a☐ Responsive to the communication(s) filed on b☐ This action is made FINAL. c☑ A statement under 37 CFR 1.530 has not been received from the patent owner.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to Failure to respond within the period for response will result in the certificate in accordance with this action. 37 CFR 1.550(d). Example 1.550(d) is less than thirty (30 will be considered timely.	ermination of the proceeding and iss (TENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVER	suance of an <i>ex parte</i> reexamination NED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).					
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF	THIS ACTION:						
Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-89	92. 3. Interview Summ	ary, PTO-474.					
2. Information Disclosure Statement, PTO/SB/08.	· 4.	<u> </u>					
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION		•					
1a. Claims <u>1-23</u> are subject to reexamination.							
1b. Claims are not subject to reexamination.							
2. Claims have been canceled in the present	t reexamination proceeding.						
3. 🛛 Claims <u>6,7 and 16</u> are patentable and/or confirm	ed.						
4. 🛛 Claims <u>1-5,8-15 and 17-23</u> are rejected.							
5. Claims are objected to.							
6. The drawings, filed on are acceptable.							
7. The proposed drawing correction, filed on	has been (7a) approved (7b)] disapproved.					
8. Acknowledgment is made of the priority claim un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the certif	îed copies have						
1☐ been received.							
2☐ not been received.							
3☐ been filed in Application No							
4☐ been filed in reexamination Control No	·						
5 been received by the International Bureau i	n PCT application No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received.						
9. Since the proceeding appears to be in condition for issuance of an <i>ex parte</i> reexamination certificate except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i> Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
10. Other:							
		•					
cc: Requester (if third party requester) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
	Ex Parte Reexamination	Part of Paper No. 20060919					

Control Number: 90/007,878

Art Unit 3993

DETAILED ACTION

Statutory Bases for Claim Rejections .

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 8-11, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 4,922,905 to Strecker ("Strecker") in view of EP Patent App. 540,290 A2 to Advanced Cardiovascular Systems ("ACS").
- 2. The subject matter of claims 1 and 23 of the subject patent is not fully supported by the parent applications. More specifically, the parent applications do not provide support for the following recitation in claims 1 and 23 of the subject patent: "a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter." Thus, claim 1, the claims that depend thereon.

Control Number: 90/007,878

under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). See MPEP 201.11(I)(B).

Art Unit 3993

and claim 23 are not entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the parent applications.

ACS was published (May 5, 1993) more than one year prior to the filing date of application, which matured into the subject patent. Since claims 1-11 and 23 are not entitled to the effective filing date of the parent applications, ACS is applicable against these claims

- 3. Regarding claim 1, Strecker discloses the invention substantially as claimed including an outer wall surface at 30' on a cylindrical element 20', said outer wall surface being smooth prior to expansion of said stent (Fig. 8) and forming a plurality of outwardly projecting edges 34 (Fig. 9) which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter. See col. 9, II. 17-21.
- 4. Regarding claims 2 and 3, see col. 9, II. 14-21, and Figs. 8 and 9.
- 5. Regarding claim 8, see col. 8, II. 33-38, which discloses that the configuration of Figs. 8 and 9 is fixable at a predetermined expansion site.
- 6. Regarding claim 11, see col. 3, l. 60.
- Regarding claim 23, Strecker discloses an outer wall surface having a plurality of outwardly projecting edges 34 (Fig. 9) which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter. See col. 9, II. 17-21.
- 8. Strecker does not disclose a plurality of cylindrical elements which are independently expandable in the radial direction and which are interconnected so as to be generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis; and a plurality of connecting elements for interconnecting said cylindrical elements, said connecting elements configured to interconnect only said cylindrical elements that are adjacent to each other, as is required in claims 1 and 23. Strecker further does not disclose said stent being formed of a

Page 3

Control Number: 90/007.878

Art Unit 3993

biocompatible material selected from the group of materials consisting of stainless steel,

tantalum, NiTi alloys, and thermoplastic polymers (claim 9); and said stent being formed from a single piece of tubing (claim 10).

- 9. ACS discloses a plurality of cylindrical elements 12 which are independently expandable in the radial direction. See col. 5, II. 7 and 8. As disclosed in the abstract, II. 5-8, elements 12 are interconnected so as to be generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis. ACS further discloses a plurality of connecting elements 13 for interconnecting said cylindrical elements 12, said connecting elements 13 configured to interconnect only said cylindrical elements 12 that are adjacent to each other. See col. 4, II. 36 and 37. As discussed at col. 1, I. 51- col. 2, I. 14, the configuration of ACS, including independently expandable cylindrical elements 12 and connecting elements 13, results in a structure, which is both flexible along its length and stiff in the radial direction such that it is able to resist collapse.
- 10. ACS also teaches making its tubing of stainless steel, tantalum, NiTi alloys, and thermoplastic polymers because these materials are biocompatible. See col. 7, II. 23-26.
- 11. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the stent of Strecker, including its outwardly projecting edges, such that it has a plurality of independently expandable cylindrical elements, each interconnected only to adjacent cylindrical elements by connecting elements, as taught by ACS for the purpose of providing a structure, which is both flexible along its length and stiff in the radial direction such that it is able to resist collapse; and such that it has is made of stainless steel, tantalum, NiTi alloys, or thermoplastic polymers because these materials are biocompatible.

Control Number: 90/007,878 Page 4

Art Unit 3993

12. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8-10, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ACS in view of Strecker.

- 13. Regarding claim 1, ACS discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a plurality of cylindrical elements 12 which are independently expandable in the radial direction (col. 5, II. 7 and 8) and which are interconnected so as to be generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis (see Fig. 4); a plurality of connecting elements 13 for interconnecting said cylindrical elements, said connecting elements configured to interconnect only said cylindrical elements that are adjacent to each other (see Fig. 4); and an outer wall surface on said cylindrical elements. As discussed at col. 2, I. 12, the configuration of ACS is flexible along its length.
- 14. Regarding claims 4 and 5, see Fig. 5.
- 15. Regarding claim 8, see col. 2, Il. 47-52.
- 16. Regarding claim 9, see col. 7, Il. 24-26.
- 17. Regarding claim 10, see the abstract, l. 4 and col. 3, ll. 3-6.
- 18. Regarding claim 23, ACS discloses a plurality of cylindrical elements 12 which are independently expandable in the radial direction (col. 5, Il. 7 and 8) and which are interconnected so as to be generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis (see Fig. 4); a plurality of connecting elements 13 for interconnecting said cylindrical elements, said connecting elements configured to interconnect only said cylindrical elements that are adjacent to each other (Fig. 4); an outer wall surface on said cylindrical elements, said outer wall surface having a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter, whereby said stent does not substantially shorten upon expansion from said first diameter

Control Number: 90/007,878

Art Unit 3993

AL

to said second, larger diameter (col. 3, Il. 10-15).

Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR

- 19. ACS does not disclose said outer wall surface being smooth prior to expansion of said stent and forming a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter (claim 1) and said outer wall surface having a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter (claim 23).
- 20. Strecker discloses an outer wall surface at 30' on a cylindrical element 20', said outer wall surface being smooth prior to expansion of said stent (Fig. 8) and forming a plurality of outwardly projecting edges 34 (Fig. 9) which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter. See col. 9, II. 17-
- 21. The formation of outwardly projecting edges 34 assures a form-fit fixation of the endoprosthesis in the vessel wall. See col. 9, II. 19-21.
- 21. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the stent of ACS, such that it has outwardly projecting edges, which form as the stent is radially expanded, as taught by Strecker for the purpose of 34 assuring a form-fit fixation of the endoprosthesis in the vessel wall.
- 22. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ACS as modified by Strecker, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 3,426,005 to Bokros et al. ("Bokros").
- 23. As discussed at paragraphs 12-21 above, ACS and Strecker disclose the invention of claim 1 substantially as claimed. However, ACS does not disclose said stent being coated with a biocompatible coating and Strecker does not provide a motivation for

Control Number: 90/007,878

Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR

Art Unit 3993

providing the stent of ACS with a biocompatible coating.

- 24. Bokros discloses an intravascular prosthesis having an impervious isotropic pyrolytic carbon coating. As described at col. 4, II. 47-49, the coating is biocompatible. As described at the Abstract, the coating contributes substantial strength to the composite prosthetic device.
- 25. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of ACS, as modified by Strecker, to include a coating as taught by Bokros for the purpose of strengthening the device.
- 26. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Mirich journal article "Percutaneously Placed Endovascular Grafts for Aortic Aneurysms: Feasibility Study" ("Mirich") in view of the Rösch journal article "Experimental Intrahepatic Portacaval Anastomosis: use of Expandable Gianturco Stents" ("Rösch").
- 27. Regarding claim 1, Mirich discloses the invention substantially as claimed including expanded a plurality of cylindrical elements (see Fig. 1) which are cvlindrical independently expandable in the radial direction (see Fig. interconnecting 1b) and which are interconnected so as to be generally interelement connectina element aligned on a common longitudinal axis (see Fig. 1); a Fig. 1b plurality of connecting elements for inter-connecting said (annotated) cylindrical elements (see Fig. 1b, annotated), and said connecting elements configured to interconnect only said cylindrical elements that are adjacent to each other; and an outer wall surface on said cylindrical elements.
- 28. Regarding claim 4, see Figs. 1a-c.
- 29. Regarding claim 9, see pg. 485, col. 1, l. 36.

Control Number: 90/007,878 Page 7

Art Unit 3993

30. Mirich does not disclose said outer wall surface being smooth prior to expansion of said stent and forming a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter. Nor does Mirich show the outwardly projecting edges extending radially outwardly from the outer wall.

- 31. Rösch discloses said outer wall surface being smooth prior to expansion of said stent and forming a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter. As noted at pg. 482, first column, the stent shown in Rösch is introduced within a sheath. The sheath is removed and the stent expands. In the sheath, the stent is unexpanded and is "smooth" since the skirt and the remainder of the stent are restrained to the same diameter by the sheath. Upon removal of the sheath, as per the description at pg. 482, the stent expands. Figs. 2c and d show the stent without the sheath. The skirt has flared radially outwards such that the stent is no longer "smooth." That is, the skirt and the remainder of the stent no longer have the same diameter. As discussed at pg. 483, the use of a skirt helps to achieve proper positioning.
- 32. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the stent of Mirich to include the skirts taught by Rösch such that the stent is smooth prior to expansion of said stent and forms a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter for the purpose of achieving proper positioning.
- 33. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mirich in view of the Lawrence journal article "Percutaneous Endovascular Graft:

Control Number: 90/007.878

Art Unit 3993

Experimental Evaluation" ("Lawrence").

34. As noted at paragraphs 27-29 above, Mirich discloses the inventions of claims 1, 4, and 9 substantially as claimed. However, Mirich does not disclose said outer wall surface being smooth prior to expansion of said stent and forming a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter. Nor does Mirich show the outwardly projecting edges extending radially outwardly from the outer wall.

35. Lawrence discloses an expandable stent having an outer wall surface, which is smooth prior to expansion of said stent and which forms a plurality of outwardly projecting edges, which edges form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter. As noted at pg. 357, third column, II, 24-49, the grafts were introduced with the technique previously described for placement of a Gianturco stent (3)," making reference at footnote (3) to the Wright article "Percutaneous endovascular stents: an experimental evaluation." This article discloses the stent being compressed before introduction, and expanding after removal of said sheath. As noted at pg. 357 of Lawrence, second column, II. 9-14 from the bottom, the stent shown in Lawrence is introduced within a sheath. The sheath is removed and the stent expands. See Fig. 1c. In the sheath, the stent is unexpanded and is "smooth" since the skirt and the remainder of the stent are restrained to the same diameter by the sheath. Upon removal of the sheath, as per the description at pg. 482, the stent expands. See pg. 357, second column, II, 9-14 from the bottom, which disclose that after the device is released from the catheter, the internal stents open the Dacron tubing. Figs. 1b shows the stent without the sheath. The skirt has flared radially outwards such that the stent is no longer "smooth." That is, the

Control Number: 90/007,878

Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR

Art Unit 3993

skirt and the remainder of the stent no longer have the same diameter. As discussed at

pg. 357, the use of a skirt helps to anchor the graft.

36. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the stent of Mirich to include the skirts taught by Lawrence such that the stent is smooth prior to expansion of said stent and forms a plurality of outwardly projecting edges which form as said stent is expanded radially outwardly from a first diameter to a second, enlarged diameter for the purpose of achieving anchoring.

- 37. Claims 12, 13, 17, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,104,404 to Wolff ("Wolff").
- 38. Wolff discloses the invention as claimed including a longitudinally flexible stent. Fig. 2 shows the stent flexed longitudinally. The articulated stent of Wolff comprises a plurality of cylindrical elements 12. Fig. 6 shows that the stent segments are capable of expanding independently to fit in a vessel having a change in diameter. Said elements 12 are interconnected (via hinges 14) so as to be concentrically aligned on a common longitudinal axis as shown in Fig. 1. A plurality of generally parallel connecting elements 14 interconnect said cylindrical elements. Fig. 1 of Wolff shows that the connecting elements 14 are configured to interconnect only said cylindrical elements that are adjacent to each other.
- 39. Claim 12 further requires that the connecting elements are configured to interconnect only said cylindrical elements that are adjacent to each other, so that said stent, when expanded radially outwardly, retains its overall length without appreciable shortening. The specification of the subject patent provides the following guidance regarding the configuration of connecting elements that causes the stent to retain its

Page 10

Control Number: 90/007,878

Art Unit 3993

overall length without appreciable shortening when the stent is expanded radially outwardly. At the very bottom of col. and the top of col. 3, the subject patent discloses, "Preferably, all of the interconnecting elements of a stent are joined at either the peaks or the valleys of the undulating structure of the cylindrical elements which for me the stent. In this manner there is no shortening of the stent upon expansion." At col. 5, Il. 48-51, subject patent discloses, "all of the interconnecting elements of an individual stent should be secured to either the peaks or valleys of the undulating structural elements in order to prevent shortening of the stent during expansion thereof." The subject patent makes no reference to any of the drawings to illustrate which particular configuration shows all of the interconnecting elements of an individual stent should be secured to either the peaks or valleys of the undulating structural elements. Since Wolff discloses its connecting elements 14 connected at only peaks and valleys, it appears that Wolff discloses identical structure to that disclosed in the subject patent for performing the claimed function. Thus, it appears that Wolff meets the limitation of having a configuration of connecting elements that causes the stent to retain its overall length without appreciable shortening when the stent is expanded radially outwardly.

- 40. Regarding claim 13, at per col. 1, II. 54 and 55, Wolff discloses the use of the stent segments disclosed in US Patent No. 4,830,003 to Wolff et al. (" '003 patent"). When the stent elements in the '003 patent are released in position, they spring back to their normal, uncompressed position. See col. 3, II. 1-18. Since the normal, uncompressed position is also the expanded position, the stent elements of Wolff are capable of retaining their expanded condition upon the expansion thereof.
- 41. Regarding claim 17, Fig. 1 shows the connecting elements are circumferentially

Control Number: 90/007,878 Page 11

Art Unit 3993

displaced with respect to the longitudinal axis.

42. Regarding claim 19, Wolff discloses the use of a single hinge between adjacent cylindrical elements. This single hinge falls in the claimed range of up to four.

- 43. Regarding claim 21, since the product in this product-by-process claim is anticipated by the product of Wolff, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. See MPEP 2113 regarding product-by-process claims.
- 44. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,344,053 to Boneau ("Boneau") in view of Wolff.
- 45. Boneau discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a plurality of cylindrical elements (see col. 6, II. 6-19) each having a diameter and a length. Claim 14 further requires that the radially expandable cylindrical elements in an expanded condition have a length less than the diameter thereof. At col. 5, II. 4-22, Boneau discloses that the typical vessel, into which the stent of Boneau might be implanted ranges from 1.5 mm to 5 mm in diameter. Thus, since the cylindrical element of Boneau is expanded to the vessel diameter (see Fig. 4), the diameter of the cylindrical element of Boneau, upon inflation of the expandable member, ranges from 1.5 mm to 5 mm. Boneau also discloses that corresponding stents may range from 1 mm to 2 cm in length. Since Boneau discloses a range of diameters, which is greater than the disclosed range of lengths, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the stent of Boneau with the radially expandable cylindrical elements in an expanded condition have a length less than the diameter thereof. See MPEP 2144.05.
- 46. Regarding claim 15, Boneau discloses the use of stainless steel at col. 4, I. 48.
- 47. Boneau does not disclose a longitudinally flexible stent, comprising a plurality of

Control Number: 90/007,878

Art Unit 3993

interconnected cylindrical elements aligned along a common longitudinal axis, and upon radial expansion the stent retains its overall length without appreciable shortening, as required in claim 12.

- 48. Wolff discloses a longitudinally flexible stent arrangement having interconnected cylindrical elements aligned along a stent longitudinal axis as shown in Fig. 1. Based upon the structure disclosed in the subject patent for performing the function of not appreciably shortening upon radial expansion of the stent (see col. 3, II. 9-13, and col. 5, II. 53-56), which discloses connecting to either peaks or valleys, since the cylindrical elements of Wolff are connected by connectors at only peaks or valleys, it appears that the configuration of Wolff meets this functional limitation. As shown in Fig. 2, the arrangement of Wolff is longitudinally flexible. This arrangement, as described at col. 1, II. 47-52, permits articulation and maintains the spacing between adjacent segments.
- Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the stent arrangement of Boneau with interconnections as taught by Wolff for the purpose of permitting articulation, maintaining the spacing between adjacent segments, and placing the tandem stents in vessels that curve in different directions.
- 50. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff.
- Wolff discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the stent being formed from a single piece of tubing. Instead, Wolff discloses a plurality of welded together wires. However, as disclosed at col. 1, I. 55-58, the stent segments from '003 are merely illustrative. The subject patent does not attribute any new or unexpected results to forming the stent of a single piece of tubing. Thus, it would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the device

Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR Control Number: 90/007,878 Page 13

Art Unit 3993

of Wolff of a single, integral piece since the subject patent does not attribute any new or unexpected results to forming the stent of a single piece of tubing. See MPEP 2144.04(V)(B).

- 52. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff in view of Bokros.
- 53. As discussed at item 38 and 39 above, Wolff discloses the invention of claim 12 substantially as claimed. However, Wolff does not disclose said stent being coated with a biocompatible coating.
- 54. Bokros discloses an intravascular prosthesis having an impervious isotropic pyrolytic carbon coating. As described at col. 4, II. 47-49, the coating is biocompatible. As described at the Abstract, the coating contributes substantial strength to the composite prosthetic device.
- Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of 55. invention to modify the device of Wolff to include a coating as taught by Bokros for the purpose of strengthening the device.
- 56. Claims 12 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Furui journal article "Hepatic Inferior Vena Cava Obstruction: Treatment of Two Types with Gianturco Expandable Metallic Stents" ("Furui").
- 57. Regarding claim 12, Furui discloses the invention as claimed including a longitudinally flexible stent as shown in Fig. 2c. Fig. 2c shows multiple stents in tandem conforming to the curve of a curved vessel. Furui further shows a plurality of cylindrical elements, which are independently expandable in the radial direction. Furui discloses that the individual cylindrical elements are Gianturco stents. As disclosed in U.S. Patent No.

Control Number: 90/007,878 Page 14

Art Unit 3993

5,305,706 to Gianturco et al. (cited in the IDS submitted May 30, 2006), a Gianturco stent is formed of a stainless steel wire arranged in a closed, zig-zag pattern, and is more fully described in US Pat. No. 4,580,568 to Gianturco (cited in the same IDS). Since the individual cylindrical elements are Gianturco stents, which expand upon removal of the sheath, and they are connected at only two opposite circumferential locations, the individual cylindrical elements of Furui appear to expand independently at least to some degree. As shown in Fig. 1, the cylindrical elements of Furui are interconnected so as to be concentrically aligned on a common longitudinal axis. Since the cylindrical elements of Furui are connected by struts at only peaks or valleys, based upon the structure disclosed in the subject patent for performing the function of not appreciably shortening upon radial expansion of the stent (see item 39 above), it appears that the configuration of Furui meets this functional limitation.

- 58. Regarding claims 17-19, see Fig. 1 of Furui.
- 59. Regarding claim 20, see pg. 665, col. 2, last line and col. 3, Il. 4 and 5.
- 60. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furui.
- 61. Claim 14 requires that the length of the radially expandable cylindrical elements in an expanded condition have a length less than the diameter thereof. Furui does not explicitly disclose a stent meeting this limitation. However, it does disclose stent segments having a length of 25 mm and a diameter range of 20-28 mm. See pg. 665, col. 2, last line and col. 3, first line. Since the claimed range of the diameter lies within the range disclosed by Furui, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the stent of Furui with the length of the radially expandable cylindrical

Control Number: 90/007,878 Page 15

Art Unit 3993

elements in an expanded condition less than the diameter thereof. See MPEP 2144.05.

62. Regarding claim 15, see pg. 665, col. 2, last line.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Control Number: 90/007,878 Page 16

Art Unit 3993

63. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,056,776. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because even though claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,506,776 do not recite an outer wall, the cylindrical rings of these claims inherently have outer wall surfaces. Moreover, since the projecting edges form upon expansion, by implication, prior to expansion, the outer wall surfaces are smooth since the projecting edges have not been formed. With respect to claim 4 of the subject patent, claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,506,776 recite an undulating pattern in the form of peaks and valleys, which is the same if not narrower than the claimed "serpentine pattern." Claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,056,776 do not recite the plurality of cylindrical elements being independently expandable, as recited in claim 1 of the subject patent. However, ACS teaches providing independently expandable cylindrical elements 12 to facilitate implantation of the stent in a variety of body lumen shapes. See col. 5, Il. 7-12. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the stent of claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,506,776 to have independently expandable elements as taught by ACS for the purpose of facilitating implantation of the stent in a variety of body lumen shapes. 64. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 14, and 21 of US Patent No. 5,728,158. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because every element recited in claims 1 and 2 of the subject patent is

also recited in claims 1, 14, and 21 of US Patent No. 5,728,158 with the exception of the

plurality of cylindrical elements being independently expandable, as recited in claim 1 of

Control Number: 90/007.878

Art Unit 3993

the subject patent. However, ACS teaches providing independently expandable cylindrical elements 12 to facilitate implantation of the stent in a variety of body lumen shapes. See col. 5, II. 7-12. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the stent of 1, 14, and 21 of US Patent No. 5,728,158 to have independently expandable elements as taught by ACS for the purpose of facilitating implantation of the stent in a variety of body lumen shapes.

- One-way obviousness analysis was applied above because it appears that the conflicting claims could have been filed in a single (*i.e.*, the earlier filed) application and it does not appear that there was an administrative delay. See MPEP 804(II)(B)(1)(a,b). However, in the event that the claims could not have been filed in a single application and there was administrative delay, the following rejection shows that claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,056,776 are obvious variations of the claims of the subject patent (two-way obviousness analysis).
- 66. Claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,056,776 are not patentably distinct from claim 4 of the subject patent because every element recited in claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,056,776 is also recited in claim 4 of the subject patent.
- 67. Claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,056,776 are not patentably distinct from claims 1 and 2 of the subject patent. Claims 1 and 2 of the subject patent do not recite an undulating pattern in the form of peaks and valleys. ACS discloses an undulating pattern in the form of peaks and valleys to provide for radial expansion by decreasing the wave's amplitude. See col. 2, II. 28-43. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the cylindrical elements of claims 1 and 2 of the subject patent such that the elements have an undulating pattern in the form of peaks and

Page 18

Control Number: 90/007,878

Art Unit 3993

valleys as taught by ACS for the purpose of allowing radial expansion.

Response to Requester's Proposed Rejections

- At pages 18-22 of the request, the requester suggests that the Mirich journal article "Percutaneously Placed Endovascular Grafts for Aortic Aneurysms: Feasibility Study" ("Mirich") anticipates claims 1 and 4. The examiner disagrees. The claim includes a comparison of the smoothness of the outer wall surface of the cylindrical elements in both expanded and non-expanded configurations. It appears from Figs. 1a-c that the stents of Mirich are "smooth" prior to expansion only by way of the nylon covering. The actual outer surface of the stents themselves, *i.e.*, not including the nylon covering, appears to be equally as "smooth" in both the expanded and non-expanded configurations. The so-called "projecting edges" the requester refers to at page 21 of the request appear, to the examiner, to exist equally in both the expanded and non-expanded configurations.

 Moreover, the "projecting edges" referred to by the requester are not outwardly projecting as required by claim 1. The requester also refers to the barbs of Fig. 1c. The examiner notes that in the embodiment of Fig. 1c, because of the barbs, the stents appear to be equally as smooth in both the expanded and non-expanded configurations.
- 69. At pages 30-32 of the request, the requester suggests that US Patent No. 5,133,732 to Wiktor ("Wiktor") anticipates claim 12. The examiner disagrees because Wiktor does not disclose a plurality of cylindrical elements and a plurality of generally parallel connecting elements. With respect to the requirement for a plurality of cylin-drical elements, the requester relies on Fig. 12 (see page 30 of the request). However, this figure shows one continuous spiral and not a plurality of elements. At page 10 of the decision ordering reexamination, the examiner stated that Fig. 8 shows a plurality of

Control Number: 90/007,878 Page 19

Art Unit 3993

sections. However, upon reconsideration, the examiner now concedes that construing randomly chosen sections of a coil as a plurality of cylindrical sections, as shown in the figure at page 10 of the decision, is not a reasonable position to take. With respect to the requirement for a plurality of generally parallel connecting elements, the first embodiment of Wiktor (Fig. 7) discussed by the requester at page 31, only shows one interconnected element. The second embodiment of Wiktor (Fig. 8), discussed by the requester at page 31, does not appear to show generally parallel connecting elements.

Statement of Reasons for Patentability and/or Confirmation

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for patentability and/or confirmation of the claims found patentable in this reexamination proceeding:

- 70. Regarding **claims 6 and 7**, the prior art does not show, singly or in combination, the combination of elements recited in this claim including members tipping radially outwardly to form said outwardly projecting edges upon radial expansion of the stent.
- 71. Regarding **claim 16**, the prior art does not show, singly or in combination, the combination of elements recited in this claim including the connecting elements between adjacent elements being in axial alignment.

Any comments considered necessary by PATENT OWNER regarding the above statement must be submitted promptly to avoid processing delays. Such submission by the patent owner should be labeled: "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Patentability and/or Confirmation" and will be placed in the reexamination file.

Conclusion

Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will **not** be permitted in these proceedings because the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply only to "an applicant" and not

Page 20

Control Number: 90/007,878

Art Unit 3993

to parties in a reexamination proceeding. Additionally, 35 U.S.C. 305 requires that *ex* parte reexamination proceedings "will be conducted with special dispatch" (37 CFR 1.550(a)). Extensions of time in *ex parte* reexamination proceedings are provided for in 37 CFR 1.550(c).

The patent owner is reminded of the continuing responsibility under 37 CFR 1.565(a) to apprise the Office of any litigation activity, or other prior or concurrent proceeding, involving Patent No. 5,514,154 throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. The third party requester is also reminded of the ability to similarly apprise the Office of any such activity or proceeding throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. See MPEP §§ 2207, 2282 and 2286.

Any paper filed with the Office, *i.e.*, any submission made, by either the patent owner or the third party requester **must** be served on every other party in the reexamination proceeding in the manner provided by § **1.248**. The document must reflect service or the document may be refused consideration by the Office. See 37 CFR 1.550(f).

The patent owner is notified that any proposed amendments to the specification and/or claims in this reexamination proceeding **MUST** comply with 37 CFR 1.530(d)-(j), 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b), and 37 CFR 1.20(c).

Contact Information

All correspondence relating to this *ex parte* reexamination proceeding should be directed as follows:

By U.S. Postal Service Mail: Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam

Attn: Central Reexamination Unit

Commissioner for Patents

Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR

Document 704-2

Filed 12/27/2006

Page 25 of 89

Control Number: 90/007,878

Art Unit 3993

Page 21

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-9900

Central Reexamination Unit

By hand: Customer Service Window

Attn: Central Reexamination Unit Randolph Building, Lobby Level

401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Reexamination Legal Advisor or Examiner, or as to the status of this proceeding, should

be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at telephone number (571) 272-7705.

Signed:

Sara Clarke

Primary Examiner

Central Reexamination Unit

(571) 272-4873

Caladex90f-6lairos80-SLR

C Creisi	1.00	• • • •	

Application/Control No. Document 704-2

o. Applicant(s)/Patent under Filed 12/Ref/2000ation Page 26 of 89

90/007,878

Examiner

5515154 Art Unit

Sara S. Clarke

3993

Rejected = Allowed

(Through numeral) Cancelled Restricted

Non-Elected N I Interference

Appeal Α 0 Objected

		Allowed								
		_								
Cla	aim	Γ	Date							
		9								П
Final	Original	< < < < < 12/13/06						l		
ιĒ)rį	2			İ			l		
		-								
	1 2 3 4 5 6	1								П
	2	1								
	3	1	П							
	4	1								П
	5	1								
	6	=								
	7	=						<u> </u>		
	8	7								
	8 9 10	1								
	10	1					Г	Г		
	11	1		,						П
	12	1							Γ	П
	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	77777								П
	14	1			Γ			Γ		П
	15	1				<u> </u>				П
	16	=					T	Т		П
	17	77777					Γ			
	18	1	_			_	_		_	_
	19	J	_			-	-	1	-	
	20	Ī				-	-	_	_	
	21	Ì			-		-		_	-
	20 21 22 23	J		-		-	_	 	_	\vdash
	23	1								\dashv
	24	H								H
	24 25 26	Н							H	\exists
	26				_			-	-	-
	27		_		_				-	\dashv
	27 28 29				-		\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash
	20		-				-	-	-	
	30				-		\vdash	\vdash	-	
	31	Н	_			\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	H	Н
	32					-	-	-	H	Н
	33	\dashv	_	_			-	-	Н	\vdash
	33 34 35	\dashv	-			_	\vdash	\vdash	-	\vdash
	35	-	-	-		_	-	-	\vdash	\vdash
	36		-	\neg		_	 	┝	-	\vdash
	36 37	\vdash	\dashv	-	-		-	H	H	\vdash
	38		\dashv	-			-	-	-	\vdash
	39		-	_			\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash
	40	-					\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	
	41	\dashv	-				\vdash	H	-	
							\vdash	H	Н	\dashv
	42	\dashv	\dashv	-		_	\vdash	-	H	\dashv
	43						<u> </u>	\vdash	Н	\sqsubseteq
	44				_	_	<u> </u>	H	-	Щ
-	45	_		_			_	L		Ш
	46	_		_				H		Щ
	47	_	_		_		_	\vdash	Щ	Щ
	48	-	4				<u> </u>	_	<u></u>	
	49	_	_				<u> </u>	_	_	
	50							الله		

Cla	Date									
				I		Г		Γ		
Final	Original									
	51 52 53 54 55									
	52									
	53									П
	54				-	· · ·				\vdash
	55		t –							
	56	Ħ	1	· ·	!	m	 -	1	Н	-
	57	一	┢	┢	\vdash	┢	\vdash	1		
<u> </u>	57 58	-	\vdash			┢			-	
-	59	 	1	╁	\vdash	 				
-	60		H	H	┢	┢	╁		-	<u> </u>
_	61	-	┢	┢	┢╾	-	<u> </u>		-	-
	62	-	╁	-	 	├		-		-
-	62 63	-	-	-	-	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash
<u> </u>	64	⊢	\vdash	-	\vdash	-	-	├-	-	-
-	65	₩	-	-	-	-	-	├	-	-
-	00	 		-	-	-	-	-	-	\vdash
	66 67	├_	<u> </u>	_	_		_	-	_	<u> </u>
<u></u>	67	_	Ļ	_				-	_	
<u> </u>	68	Ŀ	┞	_	_	_	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	69 70	_	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	
	70	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	71	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	_			
	72 73	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>		_	_	
	73	L_		<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	_	
	74	L.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	_		
	75		_	_	_		_	_	<u> </u>	
	75 76 77	 -	L	ļ	L	_	_		<u> </u>	_
	77	 		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	_
-	78 79	<u> </u>	_				<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	79	<u> </u>	⊢				_	-	L	_
<u></u>	80	-	_	_			_		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	81 82	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-						
	82			_			_			
	83	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	\vdash	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	84	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\vdash
<u></u>	85	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
	86	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	\vdash	\vdash	L	\vdash
<u> </u>	87		_	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Щ
	88	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	89	<u> </u>	\vdash	ļ	_	_	_	L	_	
	90	-	L	_	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	\vdash	H	<u> </u>
<u></u>	91	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			Щ
	92	H	L	-		_	<u> </u>	-	L	_
	93	H	\vdash	\vdash	_	<u> </u>	_	L	\vdash	_
	94	-		_	<u> </u>	\vdash	<u> </u>	L	L	Щ
<u> </u>	95		<u> </u>	_		_	_		Ш	Щ
<u> </u>	96	\vdash	<u> </u>	L		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Щ
	97	ļ	<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>	_	ļ_	lacksquare	Щ
<u></u>	98		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	_	L_	Щ	_
	99		_	<u> </u>	L		L_	L	Ц	
1	100	ı	İ			ı	1	ı		l i

	ļ	L							ļ	
										<u>. </u>
CI	aim		_		[Date	e_		_	
Finat	Originat									
	101		-		_	-		H		
	102							П		
	102 103									
	104							Г		
	105									
	105 106									
	107									
	108			_	_			L		
	109		L	<u> </u>		L				Щ
<u> </u>	110 111		L	_	_	<u> </u>			_	
	111		L			_	_	_		
	112	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	_	Ľ	_	Щ
	113		_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	_	_	-	Н
	112 113 114 115 116	-	\vdash	-	-	-	-	-	_	H
_	116	_	-	-	_	-	-	-		Н
	117		_					\vdash	-	\vdash
	118	_				\vdash	┢┈	├─	-	H
	118 119					-	-	<u> </u>	H	Н
	120									П
	121						_			
	122									
	120 121 122 123 124									
ļ	124		_	_				<u> </u>		
	125 126 127						ļ	_	L	Ш
	126			_				ļ	_	
	127									
	128 129 130			<u> </u>	_		-	 		
	130					\vdash			-	
-	131							-	-	
	131 132				_	_		Т		
	133									П
	134 135		•							
	135									
	136					_			_	Ц
	137				_					Ш
	138	_	_	_		L	_		_	
\vdash	139 140			_	_	<u> </u>			_	-
	141	_		-				<u> </u>	_	-
\vdash	142			-				\vdash	-	\dashv
	143		-	\vdash		-	-			\dashv
	144		-			-	Т		H	\dashv
	145						Т			\dashv
	146									П
	147									\Box
	148									
\Box	149									
\Box	150									



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1430
Alexadria, Voginia 22313-1450
www.capto.gov



Bib Data Sheet

CONFIRMATION NO. 1031

SERIAL NUMBER 90/007,878	FILING OR 371(c) DATE 01/17/2006 RULE	CLASS 623	GROUP AR 3993	TUNIT		ATTORNEY OCKET NO. 7448-0000004	
S515154, Residence Not Provided; ADVANCED CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS INC.(OWNER), SANTA CLARA, CA; Jack S. Barufka(3rd. Pty. Req.), Mclean, VA; Jack S. Barufka, Mclean, VA *** CONTINUING DATA **********************************							
met Verified and	IS USC 119 (a-d) conditions yes no Met after						
TI TLE EXPANDABLE STEN	гѕ						
FILING FEE RECEIVED 2520 FEES: Authority has been given in Paper No to charge/credit DEPOSIT ACCOUNT No for following: All Fees 1.16 Fees (Filing) 1.17 Fees (Processing Ext. of time) 1.18 Fees (Issue) 1.18 Fees						essing Ext. of	



	Application/Control	No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under /Revanionation Page 28 of 8		
Do	cument 704-2	Filed 12			
	90/007,878		5515154		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Sara S. Clarke		3993		

SEARCH NOTES (INCLUDING SEARCH STRATEGY)

SEARCHED					
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner		
623 606 606	1.15 108 194	12/06	Som		
			:		
,					

	DATE	EXMR
NONE	12/06	Iller
	ľ	
		!
•		

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED

EXHIBIT B



Filed 12/27/2006 Page 30 of 89

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/007,889	0	1/23/2006	6066167	067448-0000004	5305
24201	24201 7590 12/21/2006			EXAM	NER
	DER PATTO				
10TH FLO		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANO	GELES, CA	90045		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102

EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/007,889.

PATENT NO. <u>6066167</u>.

ART UNIT 3993.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified ex parte reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the ex parte reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

Case 1.98-cv-00080-SLR D	90/007,889	Patent Under Reexamination 6066167			
Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination	Examiner Sara S. Clarke	Art Unit 3993			
	Sala S. Clarke	3993			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
a☐ Responsive to the communication(s) filed on c☒ A statement under 37 CFR 1.530 has not been receive		IAL.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>TWO</u> month(s) from the mailing date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in termination of the proceeding and issuance of an <i>ex parte</i> reexamination certificate in accordance with this action. 37 CFR 1.550(d). EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c) . If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.					
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART C	OF THIS ACTION:				
Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-	892. 3. Interview Sur	mmary, PTO-474.			
2. Information Disclosure Statement, PTO/SB/08.	4. 🔲				
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION					
1a. 🛛 Claims <u>1-8</u> are subject to reexamination.					
1b. Claims are not subject to reexamination		•			
2. Claims have been canceled in the prese	ent reexamination proceeding.				
3. Claims are patentable and/or confirmed					
4. 🛛 Claims <u>1-8</u> are rejected.	,				
5. Claims are objected to.					
6. The drawings, filed on are acceptable.					
7. The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_ has been (7a)☐ approved (7b) disapproved.			
8. Acknowledgment is made of the priority claim to	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the cer	tified copies have				
1 been received.	·				
2 not been received.					
3 been filed in Application No					
4☐ been filed in reexamination Control No	.				
5 been received by the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	d.			
9. Since the proceeding appears to be in condition matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
10. Other:					
cc: Requester (if third party requester) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					
PTOL-466 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action i	n Ex Parte Reexamination	Part of Paper No. 20061117			

Control Number: 90/007,889

Art Unit 3993

DETAILED ACTION

Statutory Bases for Claim Rejections

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Mirich journal article "Percutaneously Placed Endovascular Grafts for Aortic Aneurysms: Feasibility Study" ("Mirich").
- 2. Regarding claims 1 and 5, Mirich discloses the invention as claimed including a longitudinally flexible stent. As discussed at pg. 1033, col. 2, bottom paragraph, of Mirich, self-expanding Gianturco stents are used in tandem. Since the Gianturco stents are self-expanding, it follows that the individual stents, used in tandem, are flexible such that the six-tip zig-zag pattern of the Gianturco stents flattens out upon expansion. Due to this flexibility within the individual Gianturco stents, the overall device comprising tandem Gianturco stents is necessarily longitudinally flexible, at least to some degree.

At pg. 1033, col. 2, bottom paragraph, Mirich further discloses the use of four Gianturco stents in tandem thus meeting the requirement for a first cylindrically shaped

Control Number: 90/007,889

Art Unit 3993

element, a second cylindrically shaped element, a third cylindrically shaped element, up to an Nth cylindrically shaped element, and other than the first and the Nth cylindrically shaped elements, each of the cylindrically shaped elements has two adjacent cylindrically shaped elements spaced in opposite axial directions. As shown in Fig. 1b, the cylindrically shaped elements are generally independently expandable in the radial direction. As shown in Figs. 1a-c, the cylindrically shaped elements are generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis. As shown in Fig. 1a, each of the cylindrically shaped elements has an undulating pattern of peaks and valleys, the undulating pattern of each of the cylindrically shape elements being out of phase with the undulating pattern of each of the adjacent cylindrically shaped elements. Finally, Fig. 1a shows each of the cylindrically shaped elements being interconnected (via struts) to one of the adjacent cylindrically shaped elements so that the cylindrically shaped elements form a longitudinally flexible stent.

- 3. Regarding claims 2 and 6, see Fig. 1a, especially the bottom two stents.
- 4. Regarding claims 3 and 7, see Fig. 1a.
- 5. Regarding claims 4 and 8, Fig. 1a, as annotated by the examiner, includes circles around an exemplary peak and valley. As shown in the figure, the peaks and valleys of the stents are substantially U-shaped.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Furui article "Hepatic Inferior Vena

Fig. 1a from Mirich

Cava Obstruction: Treatment of Two Types with Gianturco Expandable Metallic Stents"

Page 35 of 89 Page 3

Control Number: 90/007,889

Art Unit 3993

("Furui").

7. Regarding claims 1 and 5, Furui discloses a longitudinally flexible stent as shown in Fig. 2c. Fig. 2c shows multiple stents in tandem conforming to the curve of a curved vessel. As shown in Fig. 1, Furui discloses a first cylindrically shaped element, a second cylindrically shaped element, a third cylindrically shaped element, up to an Nth cylindrically shaped element, the cylindrically shaped elements being generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis; other than the first and the Nth cylindrically shaped elements, each of the cylindrically shaped elements has two adjacent cylindrically shaped elements spaced in opposite axial directions; each of the cylindrically shaped elements having an undulating pattern of peaks and valleys, the undulating pattern of each of the cylindrically shape elements being out of phase with the undulating pattern of each of the adjacent cylindrically shaped elements; and each of the cylindrically shaped elements being interconnected (via struts) to one of the adjacent cylindrically shaped elements so that the cylindrically shaped elements form a longitudinally flexible stent. Finally, Furui discloses the cylindrically shaped elements being generally independently expandable in the radial direction. Furui discloses that the individual cylindrical elements are Gianturco stents. As disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,305,706 to Gianturco et al. (cited in the IDS submitted May 30, 2006), a Gianturco stent is formed of a stainless steel wire arranged in a closed, zig-zag pattern, and is more fully described in US Pat. No. 4,580,568 to Gianturco (cited in the same IDS). Since the individual cylindrical elements are Gianturco stents, which expand upon removal of the sheath, and they are connected at only two opposite circumferential locations, the individual cylindrical elements of Furui appear to expand independently at least to some

Art Unit 3993

degree.

- 8. Regarding claims 2, 3, 6, and 7, see Fig. 1 of Furui.
- 9. Regarding claims 4 and 8, see Fig. 1. In the same manner as Mirich above (see item 5 above), the peaks and valleys shown in this figure are U-shaped.
- 10. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,104,404 to Wolff ("Wolff").
- Regarding claims 1 and 5, Wolff discloses the invention as claimed including a longitudinally flexible stent. Fig. 2 of Wolff shows the stent in a longitudinally flexed position. Wolff also discloses a first cylindrically shaped element, a second cylindrically shaped element, a third cylindrically shaped element, up to an Nth cylindrically shaped element and other than the first and the Nth cylindrically shaped elements, each of the cylindrically shaped elements has two adjacent cylindrically shaped elements spaced in opposite axial directions. Figs. 1-5 of Wolff show three stent segments 12. Moreover, at col. 1, II. 59-61, Wolff discloses, "A relatively small number of stent segments are shown in the example but as many segments as may be required can be attached together using this approach." Thus, Wolff shows 1, 2, 3, ... N cylindrical elements and other than the first and the Nth cylindrically shaped elements, each of the cylindrically shaped elements has two adjacent cylindrically shaped elements spaced in opposite axial directions. Wolff further discloses the cylindrically shaped elements being generally independently expandable in the radial direction. Fig. 6 shows that the stent segments are capable of expanding independently to fit in a vessel having a change in diameter. Fig. 1 shows that the cylindrical elements are generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis. Fig. 1 shows each of the cylindrically shaped elements having an

Control Number: 90/007,889

Art Unit 3993

undulating pattern of peaks and valleys, the undulating pattern of each of the cylindrically shape elements being out of phase with the undulating pattern of each of the adjacent cylindrically shaped elements. Finally, Fig. 1 shows each of the cylindrically shaped elements 12 being interconnected (via hinges 14) to one of the adjacent cylindrically shaped elements so that the cylindrically shaped elements form a longitudinally flexible stent.

12. Regarding claims 3 and 7, see Fig. 1.

Response to Requester's Proposed Rejections

- 13. At pgs. 18-22 of the request, the requester suggests that the Wallace article "Tracheobronchial Tree: Expandable Metallic Stents Used in Experimental and Clinical Applications" ("Wallace"), anticipates claims 5 and 8. In making this suggesting, the requester refers to pg. 312 (third column) of Wallace, which states, "The stainless steel stent can be fashioned as to expansile properties, length, and diameter to suit the specific requirements." The requester then states, "Wallace implicitly recognizes that up to an Nth cylindrical element could be used." The examiner disagrees. By stating that the stent can be fashioned as to length to suit specific requirements, Wallace implies only that longer or shorter stents can be used. Without more, one skilled in the art cannot reasonably be expected to draw from Wallace any sort of inference as to how longer stents is achieved.
- 14. At pgs. 22-25 of the request, the requester suggests that Wolff anticipates claim 8. The examiner disagrees. Claim 8 requires that the peaks and valleys are U-shaped. The peaks and valleys of the stent segments disclosed by Wolff come to points and are thus V-shaped and not U-shaped.

Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR Control Number: 90/007,889

Art Unit 3993

15. At pgs. 25-31 of the request, the requester suggests that EP Patent App. 357,003 A2 to Corvita Corporation ("Corvita") and the Trent journal article "A Balloon-Expandable Intravascular Stent for Obliterating Experimental Aortic Dissection" ("Trent") anticipate claims 5 and 8. The examiner disagrees because both of these reference fail to disclose even two cylindrical shaped elements, let alone more than three (i.e., first, second, third, up to an Nth). The requester relies on Fig. 3 of Corvita (see page 25 of the request) and the disclosure of Trent at pg. 707 of a "continuous, complex coil cut to the length needed at the time of insertion" (see pg. 29 of the request). However, Fig. 3 of Corvita shows one continuous spiral and not a plurality of elements. Likewise, as noted by the requester, Trent also discloses a continuous spiral. At pgs. 6 and 7 of the decision ordering reexamination, the examiner stated that Fig. 3 of Corvita shows a plurality of sections and that Trent shows sections in the same manner as Corvita. However, upon reconsideration, the examiner now concedes that construing randomly chosen sections of a coil as a plurality of cylindrical shaped elements, as suggested by the requester, is not a reasonable position to take.

Conclusion

Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will **not** be permitted in these proceedings because the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply only to "an applicant" and not to parties in a reexamination proceeding. Additionally, 35 U.S.C. 305 requires that ex parte reexamination proceedings "will be conducted with special dispatch" (37) CFR 1.550(a)). Extensions of time in ex parte reexamination proceedings are provided for in 37 CFR 1.550(c).

The patent owner is reminded of the continuing responsibility under 37 CFR

Art Unit 3993

1.565(a) to apprise the Office of any litigation activity, or other prior or concurrent proceeding, involving Patent No. 6,066,167 throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. The third party requester is also reminded of the ability to similarly apprise the Office of any such activity or proceeding throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. See MPEP §§ 2207, 2282 and 2286.

Any paper filed with the Office, i.e., any submission made, by either the patent owner or the third party requester **must** be served on every other party in the reexamination proceeding in the manner provided by § 1.248. The document must reflect service or the document may be refused consideration by the Office. See 37 CFR 1.550(f).

The patent owner is notified that any proposed amendments to the specification and/or claims in this reexamination proceeding MUST comply with 37 CFR 1.530(d)-(j), 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b), and 37 CFR 1.20(c).

Contact Information

All correspondence relating to this ex parte reexamination proceeding should be directed as follows:

By U.S. Postal Service Mail: Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam

Attn: Central Reexamination Unit

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-9900

Central Reexamination Unit

By hand: Customer Service Window

Attn: Central Reexamination Unit

Randolph Building, Lobby Level

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Page 40 of 89 Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR Document 704-2 Filed 12/27/2006

Art Unit 3993

Control Number: 90/007,889 Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Reexamination Legal Advisor or Examiner, or as to the status of this proceeding, should be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at telephone number (571) 272-7705.

Signed:

Sara Clarke

Primary Examiner

Central Reexamination Unit

(571) 272-4873



Application/Control No.

00080-SLR Document 704-2 90/007,889

Certificate Date

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Repairing/12/006 6066167

Page 41 of 89

Certifi	4		
CAPTITI	COTO	Num	20r
veiuii	Late	ITUITIS	JEI

Requester	Correspondence Address:	☐ Patent Owner	☐ Third Party	
Pillsbury Win	throp Shaw Pittman, LLP			
P.O. Box 105 McLean, Va 2	500			

LITIGATION REVIEW	SC (examiner initials)	12/7/06
	Case Name	(date) Director Initials
Advanced Cardio Sys, et al v. Mo Ct of I	AK for LM	
	·	

COPENDING OFFICE PROCEEDINGS								
TYPE OF PROCEEDING	NUMBER							
1. None								
2.								
3.								
4.								

Caladex98-6lairos80-SLR

Out	 - 1-000	00

Application/Control No.
Document 704-2 Filed

o. Applicant(s)/Patent under Filed 12/মুন্তর্কেরেণ্টিঞিtion Page 42 of 89

90/007,889

6066167

Examiner

Art Unit

Sara S. Clarke

3993

√ Rejected	1
	_
1 1	

_	(Through numeral) Cancelled
÷	Restricted

N	Non-Elected
-	Interference

A	Appeal
0	Objected

							J									-
Cla	im					Date	e					Cla	aim		_	_
Final	Original	12/6/06										Final	Original			
	1	1		\vdash		-	_		H	H			51		H	t
	2	V						Γ]		52			t
	3	V]		53			Ī
	4	1] .		54			I
	5	٧											55			l
	6	1											56	L	_	ļ
	7	V			<u>_</u>		_	L	<u> </u>	_			57	_	L	ļ
	8	1	_	L	_	_	_	<u> </u>		_			58		<u> </u>	ļ
	9		<u>_</u>		_		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_			59	_	<u> </u>	ļ
	10	_	_	_	_	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L			60	_	├	ļ
	11		_	_	_	<u> </u>	L	-		L			61		├-	ļ
	12	-	_	<u> </u>	_	-	-	-	\vdash	-			62	-	-	+
	13 14	\vdash	_	-	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash						63 64	-	-	+
	15	\vdash		-	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	-		-			65	\vdash	-	ł
	16	-	H	-	┢	-		-	-				66	-		ł
	17	-		_	_	\vdash							67	H		ł
	18	\vdash		 		┢	H		1				68			ł
	19						┢						69			t
	20	\vdash		_	\vdash	-	-	 			1 1		70	_	<u> </u>	t
	21	_		-	_			┰			1		71			t
	22	_		_	_						1		72			t
	23										1 1		73			t
	24					i –					1 1		74			T
	25												75			Ī
	26				Ĺ								76			ľ
	27				L	<u>L</u>			L_				77		_	L
	28							_		L			78		$oxed{oxed}$	ļ
	29	Ш				_		_		_			79		<u> </u>	ļ
	30	Щ		<u> </u>	_		_	_					80	L	\vdash	1
	31	Щ				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>			81	H	<u> </u>	l
	32 33		-	_		H	_	-	_	_		-	82	H	\vdash	ŀ
	34		_	_	_	H	-	-	-	<u> </u>			83 84	\vdash	\vdash	1
	35	Н		<u> </u>		-	_	\vdash	\vdash	_			85	-	\vdash	H
_	36	Н		-	-			\vdash	\vdash	\vdash			86	_	-	H
-	37	Н		H	-	-			\vdash	<u> </u>			87	-	\vdash	1
-	38	Н	-	Н	┝		_	-	\vdash				88	H	-	1
-	39	Н		Н			_	-	-	_		-	89	H		1
	40	H		Н	-	H	_	\vdash		\vdash			90	H	Т	t
	41			П		Т		Г	П	\vdash		-	91		Γ	t
	42							Г	_	Γ			92		Г	t
	43												93		Г	T
	44												94			Ī
	45												95			ľ
	46												96			Ĺ
	47	Ш											97			ĺ
	48									L			98		\Box	1
	49	Щ		L.					L.,				99		<u>_</u>	1
	50							L					100			1

Date Claim		Data Claim Data															
101	Dat	e		_			Cli	aim									
103							Final	Original									
103		t	\vdash			1		101						-		-	
103			_	\vdash		1		102						Г			_
104	<u> </u>	Π				1		103							Г	П	
106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 149 149 149 149 148 149 149 149 148 149 148 149 148 149 149 149 140 141 142 148 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 148 149		Π]		104									
107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 145 146 147 148 149 149 149 149 149 148 149 149 149 148 149 149 149 148 149 149 149 140 141 142 145 146 147 148 149]		105									
108		L		L				106									
109					_			107				L					
110	4	_	_	ļ	_			108	_			_		_	_	_	_
111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 149	-	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			109	—		_	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	_		
112	-	┼	_	-	-			110						_	ļ	_	
113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 149	+	╁	\vdash	┝		1		111	H			<u> </u>	_	-	 -		
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 149	+	+	\vdash		\vdash			112	Н	-	-	H	_	_	-		_
115	+	╁	-	-	 			114						_	-	-	
116	+	\vdash		\vdash				115	Н			Н	_	_		_	\vdash
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 131 132 133 134 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	\top	T						116					_		_	_	_
118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	†	1						117			_	_					
119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 131 132 133 134 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149						1 1		118					_	Т			
121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149								119									
122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149								120									
123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	\perp							121									
124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 144 145 146 147 148	┸	_			<u> </u>			122			L						_
125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 144 145 146 147 148	_							123					_	_			
126 127 128 129 130 131 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 144 145 146 147 148	\perp	ļ						124				<u> </u>	_		_	_	
127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 144 145 146 147 148 149			<u> </u>	_				125	\vdash		L					_	_
128 129 130 131 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 144 145 146 147 148	+	<u> </u>	L					120						_	_	_	
129 130 131 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 144 145 146 147 148	+	1		\vdash				128				_	_	_	-	-	
130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 144 145 146 147 148 149	+-	 	┢	_	-			129							_		
131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 144 145 146 147 148 149	+	\vdash		┢╌	Ι-	1 1		130									
132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 144 145 146 147 148 149	\top	İ		\vdash	_	1 1		131					_				
134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 144 145 146 147 148	1			Г		1 1		132						-		_	
134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 144 145 146 147 148	Τ	Г		Г		1 1		133							-		
136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	L							134									
137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148		L						135			,						
138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	1.	\vdash	L	<u> </u>	L			136	Ц			Ш					
139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	+-	ـ	<u> </u>	_	_			137	Щ				_			_	
140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	+		<u> </u>	_					Н			-	_	_	_	_	
141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	+-	-		-	_				Н		-			_	_	_	_
142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	+	\vdash	H	H	-				-			Н				_	
143 144 145 146 147 148 149	+	╁	\vdash		-				Н			Н					
144 145 146 147 148 149	+		_						Н			Н	-		H		
145 146 147 148 149	T	T			П			144	Н				_	_			
146 147 148 149	1				Г			145									
148	Ι							146									
149																	
	Щ.	$oxed{oxed}$	L		_				Ш			Ш					
		L	L			l		150	L.								



Application/Control No.

Document 704-2 Filed 12/242003tion Page 43 of 89
90/007,889 6066167

Examiner Art Unit

Sara S. Clarke 3993

SEARCHED										
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner							
623	1.15,1.16 search updated	12/2016	Mm							
		:								
.		÷								

(INCLUDII	ES STRATEGY)	
		DATE	EXMR
NONE		n/2006	glar
•			
	·		
	-		
		•	

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED							
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner				
		·					
	1						



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov



CONFIRMATION NO. 5305

Bib Data Sheet		<u> </u>							
SERIAL NUMI 90/007,889		FILING OR 371(c) DATE 01/23/2006 RULE	(CLASS 623	GRO	UP AR 3993	UNIT	D	ATTORNEY OCKET NO. 7448-0000004
APPLICANTS									
6066167, Residence Not Provided; ADVANCED CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS, INC (OWNER), SANTA CLARA, CA; Jack S. Barufka, PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP (3RD PTY), MCLEAN, VA; Jack S. Burufka, PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP (3RD PTY), McLEAN, VA									
** CONTINUING	DAT.	a ok Akla so	*						
This application is a REX of 09/084,797 05/26/1998 PAT 6,066,167 which is a DIV of 08/823,434 03/24/1997 PAT 5,766,238 which is a DIV of 08/783,097 01/14/1997 PAT 5,735,893 which is a DIV of 08/556,516 11/13/1995 PAT 5,603,721 which is a DIV of 08/281,790 07/28/1994 PAT 5,514,154 which is a CIP of 08/164,986 12/09/1993 ABN which is a CON of 07/783,558 10/28/1991 ABN									
Foreign Priority clain	ned	uges 🖎 no							
35 USC 119 (a-d) co met Verified and Acknowledged	nditions	Allowence on SC	ter itials	STATE OR COUNTRY		EETS WING	TOTA CLAI 8		INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 2
ADDRESS 24201		-· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,					
TITLE									
EXPANDABLE S	STENT	rs							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Fees		
!						□ 1.1	6 Fees (Filing	1)
FILING FEE FEES: Authority has been given in Paper 1.17 Fees (Processing Ext. of time)								essing Ext. of	
No for following:							.)		
				•		Oth			<u> </u>
,						□ Cre			
1	I						uit		

EXHIBIT C



Filed 12/27/2006 Page 46 of 89

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	ON NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.
90/007,890	90/007,890 01/23/2006		6066168	067448-0000004	5461
24201	7590	12/21/2006		EXAM	IINER
	ER PATTO				
10TH FLC		,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANG	ELES, CA	90045			

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102

EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/007,890.

PATENT NO. <u>6066168</u>.

ART UNIT 3993.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified ex parte reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the ex parte reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

	— Case 1:98-cv-00080-5LR Do	Control No. 90/007,890	Patent Under Reexamination 6066168								
Offi	ce Action in Ex Parte Reexamination	Examiner Sara S. Clarke	Art Unit 3993								
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address								
	a☐ Responsive to the communication(s) filed on b☐ This action is made FINAL. c☐ A statement under 37 CFR 1.530 has not been received from the patent owner.										
Failure certifica If the pe	A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 2 month(s) from the mailing date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in termination of the proceeding and issuance of an <i>ex parte</i> reexamination certificate in accordance with this action. 37 CFR 1.550(d). EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c) . If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.										
Part I	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF	THIS ACTION:									
1.	Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-89	92. 3. Interview Summa	ary, PTO-474.								
2.	☐ Information Disclosure Statement, PTO/SB/08.	4. 🔲									
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION										
1a.	Claims <u>1-18</u> are subject to reexamination.										
1b.	Claims are not subject to reexamination.										
2.	Claims have been canceled in the presen	t reexamination proceeding.									
3.	☐ Claims <u>8-10 and 17</u> are patentable and/or confirm	med.									
4.											
5.	Claims are objected to.										
6.	☐ The drawings, filed on are acceptable.										
7.	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	has been $(7a)$ approved $(7b)$	disapproved.								
8.	Acknowledgment is made of the priority claim un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the certif	fied copies have									
	1 been received.										
	2 not been received.										
	3 been filed in Application No										
	4 been filed in reexamination Control No.	 ·									
	5 been received by the International Bureau i	•••									
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	•									
9.	 Since the proceeding appears to be in condition matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 11, 453 O.G. 213. 										
10											
_	40.11										
U.S. Patent a	iester (if third party requester) nd Trademark Office										
2TOL-466	(Rev. 08-06) Office Action in	Ex Parte Reexamination	Part of Paper No. 20061127								

Control Number: 90/007,890

Art Unit 3993

DETAILED ACTION

Statutory Bases for Claim Rejections

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-7 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,104,404 to Wolff ("Wolff").
- 2. Regarding claim 1, Wolff discloses the invention as claimed including a longitudinally flexible stent. Fig. 2 of Wolff shows the stent in a longitudinally flexed position. As shown in Fig. 1, Wolff further discloses a plurality of cylindrical rings 12, which are connected so as to be generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis. As disclosed at col. 1, l. 55, the segments used in Wolff can be those disclosed in US

07,890

Patent No. 4,830,003 (also to Wolff). As disclosed in Wolff '003, at col. 3, II. 12-14, the stent segments disclosed in Wolff '003 are expandable in the radial direction. As disclosed at the abstract, I. 2, Wolff discloses at least one weld connection between each cylindrical ring to attach the plurality of cylindrical rings along the common longitudinal axis thereby forming the longitudinally flexible stent.

- 3. Regarding claims 2-5, see Fig. 1.
- 4. Regarding claim 7, see Figs. 1-5.
- 5. Regarding claim 12, the rings 12 shown in Fig. 1 have an undulating pattern of peaks and valleys. Moreover, adjacent rings are out of phase with one another. As disclosed at the abstract, I. 2, Wolff discloses at least one weld connection between each cylindrical ring to attach the plurality of cylindrical rings along the common longitudinal axis thereby forming the longitudinally flexible stent.

Regarding claim 6 and 12, Fig. 11 of the subject patent is the only figure for which the rings/elements are described as and appear to be out of phase. Since the peaks of every ring are also the valleys, depending upon perspective, the pairs of portions of adjacent rings, which are connected by interconnecting elements 13, can be called "peaks." In this same manner, the peaks of one cylindrical ring of Wolff point towards the peaks of an adjacent ring.

- 6. Regarding claim 13, see Fig. 8.
- 7. Regarding claims 14 and 15, see Fig. 1.
- 8. Regarding claim 16, the language "one of N-1 adjacent weld connections" is not exclusive. Since Wolff discloses two weld connections between adjacent rings, Wolff necessarily discloses one weld connection between adjacent rings.

Control Number: 90/007,890

Art Unit 3993

9. Claims 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,344,053 to Boneau ("Boneau") in view of Wolff.

- 10. Boneau discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a plurality of cylindrical rings (see col. 6, II. 6-19) each having a diameter and a length. Claims 11 and 18 further require that each of the cylindrical rings in an unexpanded and uncrimped condition has a length less than the diameter thereof. At col. 5, II. 4-22, Boneau discloses that the typical vessel, into which the stent of Boneau might be implanted ranges from 1.5 mm to 5 mm in diameter. Thus, since the cylindrical ring of Boneau is expanded to the vessel diameter (see Fig. 4), the diameter of the cylindrical ring of Boneau, in the expanded position, ranges from 1.5 mm to 5 mm. Boneau further discloses that the stent may have between two and ten turns. See col. 6, line 19. At col. 4, lines 58-60, Boneau discloses a wire diameter in the range of 0.002 to 0.025 inches. Based upon the ranges of the turns and the wire diameter, the diameter of the ring in a crimped position can range from 0.064 to 4.044 millimeters. Boneau also discloses that corresponding stents may range from 1 mm to 2 cm in length. The range of lengths taught by Boneau overlaps a range of lengths less than both the largest crimped diameter of 4.044 mm and the largest expanded diameter of 5 mm. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the stent of Boneau with the radially expandable cylindrical rings in an unexpanded and uncrimped condition with a length less than the diameter thereof. See MPEP 2144.05.
- 11. Boneau does not disclose that the plurality of cylindrical rings are connected so as to be generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis and at least one weld

Control Number: 90/007,890

Art Unit 3993

connection between each cylindrical ring to attach the plurality of rings along the common longitudinal axis thereby forming the longitudinally flexible stent.

- 12. As discussed at items 2 and 5 above, Wolff discloses a plurality of cylindrical rings 12, which are connected so as to be generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis and at least one weld connection between each cylindrical ring to attach the plurality of rings along the common longitudinal axis thereby forming the longitudinally flexible stent. As discussed in the abstract, l. 14-18, the welded connections (hinges 14) provide articulation and spacing between the stent segments.
- 13. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the device of Boneau with the welded hinges taught by Wolff for the purpose of providing articulation and spacing between cylindrical rings.
- 14. Claim 1-7 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Furui article "Hepatic Inferior Vena Cava Obstruction: Treatment of Two Types with Gianturco Expandable Metallic Stents" ("Furui").
- 15. Regarding claims 1 and 12, Furui discloses a longitudinally flexible stent as shown in Fig. 2c. Fig. 2c shows multiple stents in tandem conforming to the curve of a curved vessel. As shown in Fig. 1, Furui discloses a plurality of cylindrical rings, which are connected by struts so as to be generally aligned on a common longitudinal axis. Finally, Furui discloses the cylindrically shaped elements being expandable in the radial direction. See p. 1, col. 2, l. 28, which discloses the use of expandable Gianturco stents.

Claim 1 further requires that there is, "at least one weld connection between each cylindrical ring to attach the plurality of cylindrical rings along the common longitudinal

Control Number: 90/007,890

Art Unit 3993

axis thereby forming the longitudinally flexible stent." Claim 12 also requires a weld connection. The requester suggests that Fig. 1 of Furui clearly shows at least one weld connection. The examiner agrees with the declarant Jeffery Allen (see the declaration provided with the request) that Fig. 1 of Furui shows a globular mass connecting struts between adjacent rings and that this globular mass indicates the use of a filler material. See items 6 and 10 in the declaration. The examiner further agrees that the presence of the filler material excludes all of the types of joining mentioned in items 8 and 9, leaving only welding, brazing, or soldering. Finally, the examiner agrees that the joint shown is "welded." However, the examiner does not agree with Mr. Allen's explanation.

It is important to point out that the specification of the subject patent provides no guidance as to what is meant by "welding." See col. 3, I. 3, and the claims. Thus, "welding" must be given its plain meaning. See MPEP 2111.01.

The declarant, Mr. Allen, appears to be an ordinary skilled artisan due to his education and professional experience. See items 3 and 4 in the declaration. Thus, his opinion carries some weight as to the plain meaning of the term "welding" and what it encompasses.

In the declaration, at item 10, Mr. Allen averred that the joint could have been made by brazing or soldering, both of which are types of non-fusion welds, or any fusion weld utilizing the addition of a filler material. Here the examiner disagrees. According to the book Joining of Material and Structures, From Pragmatic Process to Enabling

Technology¹, non-fusion welds are made without the use of a filler material that melts.

¹ Since the stents of the subject patent are metallic, it is appropriate to refer to general manuals or reference books on metals, such as this book, to determine the ordinary and customary meaning as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art in question at the time of the invention, barring evidence in the record that the stent art uses other language for standard metal joining.

Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR Control Number: 90/007,890 Page 6

Art Unit 3993

See p. 332. Brazing and soldering are not types of non-fusion welds. See pp. 349, 392, and 393.

However, according to the same book, "In its broadest sense, welding includes any process that causes materials to join through the attractive action of interatomic or intermolecular forces, as opposed to purely macroscopic or even microscopic mechanical interlocking forces. Thus, welding ..., brazing ..., soldering ..., and even adhesive bonding ... can all be considered 'welding' processes by the preceding definition." See p. 285. This same book refers to brazing and soldering as subclassifications of welding. See pp. 351 and 391. Thus, since the joint shown in Furui is made by brazing, soldering, or a fusion weld utilizing a filler material, and brazing and soldering are subclassifications of welding, the joint is necessarily a welded joint.

- 16. Regarding claims 2-5, see Fig. 1.
- 17. Regarding claim 6, in the same manner as item 5 above, the connected portions of Fig. 1 of Furui are both "peaks."
- 18. Regarding claim 7, see Fig. 1.
- 19. Regarding claim 12, Fig. 1 shows out of phase rings. In the same manner as item 5 above, the connected portions of Fig. 1 of Furui are both "peaks," and the peaks of one ring point toward the peaks of the adjacent ring.
- 20. Regarding claims 13-15, see pg. 665, col. 3, l. 3.
- 21. Regarding claim 16, the language "one of N-1 adjacent weld connections" is not exclusive. Since Wolff discloses two weld connections between adjacent rings, Furui necessarily discloses one weld connection between adjacent rings.

Page 7

Control Number: 90/007,890

Art Unit 3993

Response to Requester's Proposed Rejections

22. At pages 20-26 of the request, the requester suggests that both US Patent No. 5,133,732 to Wiktor ("Wiktor") and US Patent No. 4,733,665 to Palmaz ("Palmaz") anticipate claims 1-3. The examiner disagrees because both Wiktor and Palmaz do not disclose a plurality of cylindrical rings. With respect to this claim requirement, the requester relies on Fig. 7 of Wiktor (see p. 20 of the request) and Fig. 2B of Palmaz (see p. 24 of the request). However, Fig. 7 of Wiktor shows one continuous spiral and not a plurality of rings. Elongate members 78 and 79 in Fig. 2B of Palmaz do not amount to a plurality of rings. At page 4 of the decision ordering reexamination, the examiner stated Wiktor discloses a plurality of rings. However, upon reconsideration, the examiner now concedes that construing randomly chosen sections of a coil as a plurality of cylindrical rings, as shown in the figure at page 20 of the request, is not a reasonable position to take.

Statement of Reasons for Patentability and/or Confirmation

- 23. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for patentability and/or confirmation of the claims found patentable in this reexamination proceeding:
- 24. Regarding **claim 8**, the prior art of record does not disclose, singly or in combination, the combination of elements recited in claim 8 including the weld connections attaching adjacent cylindrical rings being circumferentially aligned along the longitudinal axis.
- 25. Regarding **claims 9 and 10**, the prior art of record does not disclose, singly or in combination, the combination of elements recited in claim 9 including only one weld connection attaching adjacent cylindrical elements to each other.

Control Number: 90/007,890 Page 8

Art Unit 3993

26. Regarding **claim 17**, the prior art of record does not disclose, singly or in combination, the combination of elements recited in claim 17 including each weld connection being circumferentially offset from the adjacent weld connections.

27. Any comments considered necessary by PATENT OWNER regarding the above statement must be submitted promptly to avoid processing delays. Such submission by the patent owner should be labeled: "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Patentability and/or Confirmation" and will be placed in the reexamination file.

Conclusion

Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will **not** be permitted in these proceedings because the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply only to "an applicant" and not to parties in a reexamination proceeding. Additionally, 35 U.S.C. 305 requires that *ex parte* reexamination proceedings "will be conducted with special dispatch" (37 CFR 1.550(a)). Extensions of time in *ex parte* reexamination proceedings are provided for in 37 CFR 1.550(c).

The patent owner is reminded of the continuing responsibility under 37 CFR 1.565(a) to apprise the Office of any litigation activity, or other prior or concurrent proceeding, involving Patent No. 6,066,168 throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. The third party requester is also reminded of the ability to similarly apprise the Office of any such activity or proceeding throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. See MPEP §§ 2207, 2282 and 2286.

Any paper filed with the Office, *i.e.*, any submission made, by either the patent owner or the third party requester **must** be served on every other party in the reexamination proceeding in the manner provided by § **1.248**. The document must

Art Unit 3993

reflect service or the document may be refused consideration by the Office. See 37 CFR 1.550(f).

The patent owner is notified that any proposed amendments to the specification and/or claims in this reexamination proceeding **MUST** comply with 37 CFR 1.530(d)-(j), 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b), and 37 CFR 1.20(c).

Contact Information

All correspondence relating to this *ex parte* reexamination proceeding should be directed as follows:

By U.S. Postal Service Mail: Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam

Attn: Central Reexamination Unit

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-9900

Central Reexamination Unit

By hand: Customer Service Window

Attn: Central Reexamination Unit Randolph Building, Lobby Level

401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Reexamination Legal Advisor or Examiner, or as to the status of this proceeding, should be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at telephone number (571) 272-7705.

Signed:

Sara Clarke

Primary Examiner

Central Reexamination Unit

(571) 272-4873



Case 1:98-cv-00080-SLR Notice of References Cited Doc http://gaptrol.No.Filed 12/Applicant/S)/Patent-Under S of 89 Reexamination 6066168 Examiner Sara S. Clarke Sara S. Clarke Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-			
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	۵	US-			
	Ш	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Ή	US-			
	-	US-			
	-	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N				,	
	0					
	Р					
	α					
	R					
	S					
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*	* Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)								
	U	Messler, Jr., R. J., Joining of Materials and Structures, From Pragmatic Process to Enabling Technology, Elsevier, Inc., Burlington, MA, 2004, pp. 285, 305, 332, 333, 349-353, 389-393, 447.							
	V								
	w								
	x								

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

PTO/SB/08a (06-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2003 OMB-0651-0031-59 Of 89

Of 1970 Approved for use through 07/31/2003 OMB-0651-0031-59 Of 89

Of 1970 Approved for use through 07/31/2003 OMB-0651-0031-59 Of 89

Trademark Office U.S. DelPAR TWEET OF COMMERCE

Of 89

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO	C	omplete if Known
	Application Number	90/007,890
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	January 23, 2006
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Lilip Lau
DI MI DICANI	Art Unit	3993
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	Sara Clarke
Sheet of	Attorney Docket Number	ACS 74381

			U.S.	PATENT DOCUMENT	S	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹	Number	ument Number Kind Code ² (if known)	Issue/Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevan Figures Appear
				·		
					!	
	T					
				i i		

				FOREIG	PATENT DOCU	MENTS		
Examiner	Cite		Foreign Patent Docu	ımenı	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines	Г
Initials*	No	Office ³	Number ⁴	Kind ⁵ (if known)	MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
SC		AU	B-53198/94		03/17/1994	Arterial Vascular Engineering, Inc.		
								_
				-				
_								
								_

-	Examiner			
	Signature	/Sara Clarke/	Date Considered	12/06/2006

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ¹ See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ¹ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO, Standard ST.3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Examiner Cite **Document Number** Issue or Name of Patentee or Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear Applicant of Cited Document Initials No. Number-Kind Code (if known) SC US-2,070,073 02-09-1937 Walton US-2,701,559 02-08-1955 Cooper US-2,854,982 10-07-1958 Pagano 10-07-1958 US-2,854,983 Baskin US-3,105,492 10-01-1963 Jeckel US-3,155,095 11-03-1964 Brown US-3,420,142 09-15-1966 Gale et al. 11-08-1966 US-3,284,762 Kompanek 08-08-1967 US-3,334,629 Cohn US-3,526,005 09-01-1970 Boskros et al. 11-17-1970 Mobin-Uddin US-3,540,431 02-16-1971 US-3,562,820 Braun 08-17-1971 US-3,599,641 Sheridan US-3,657,744 04-25-1972 Ersek 01-30-1973 Weisman US-3,713,175 02-06-1973 US-3,714,671 Edwards et al. 11-27-1973 US-3,774,596 Cook 09-10-1974 US-3,834,394 Hunter et al. US-3,858,441 01-07-1975 Comeau 03-04-1975 US-3,868,956 Alfidi et al. 04-01-1975 US-3,874,388 King et al. US-3,882,845 05-13-1975 Bucalo 06-17-1975 US-3,889,685 Miller, Jr. et al. US-3,893,344 07-08-1975 Dantigraber et al. 07-15-1975 US-3,894,974 Hunter et al. 04-27-1976 Kimmell, Jr. US-3,952,747 07-13-1976 Vilasi US-3,968,800 US-3,993,078 11-23-1976 Bergentz et al. US-4,038,702 08-02-1977 Sawyer 09-13-1977 US-4,047,252 Liebig et al. US-4,056,854 11-08-1977 Boretos et al. US-4,061,134 12-06-1977 Samuels et al.

SC

01-03-1978

02-28-1978

03-28-1978

Sawyer

Martinez

Heilman et al.

US-4,065,816

US-4,076,285

US-4,080,706

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Cite Examiner **Document Number** Name of Patentee or Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Issue or Relevant Passages or Relevant Initials **Publication Date** Applicant of Cited Document Number-Kind Code (if known) MM-DD-YYYY Figures Appear US-4,105,022 08-08-1978 Antoshkiw et al. SC US-4,106,129 08-15-1978 Carpentier et al. US-4,130,904 12-26-1978 Whalen US-4,140,126 02-20-1979 Choudhury US-4,159,719 07-03-1979 Haerr US-4,183,102 01-15-1980 Guiset US-4,190,909 03-04-1980 Ablaza US-4,195,637 04-01-1980 Grüntzig et al. US-4,198,982 04-22-1980 Fortner et al. US-4,214,587 07-29-1980 Sakura, Jr. US-Re. 30,434 11-11-1980 **Davis** US-4,264,419 04-28-1981 Pryor US-4,276,132 06-30-1981 Fettel et al. US-4,295,464 10-20-1981 Shihata US-4,299,226 11-10-1981 Banka US-4,300,244 11-17-1981 Bokros US-4,313,231 02-02-1982 Koyamada US-4,319,363 03-16-1982 Ketharanathan 04-06-1982 US-4,323,071 Simpson et al. US-4,323,994 04-06-1982 Coogler US-4,328,811 05-11-1982 Fogarty US-4,338,942 07-13-1982 Fogarty 07-20-1982 US-4,340,046 Cox US-4,343,048 08-10-1982 Ross et al. US-4,343,049 08-10-1982 Fettel et al. US-4,387,952 06-14-1983 Slusher US-4,390,599 06-28-1983 **Broyles** US-4,402,307 09-06-1983 Hanson et al. US-4,403,612 09-13-1983 Fogarty US-4,448,195 05-15-1984 LeVeen et al. US-4,479,497 10-30-1984 Fogarty et al. 11-20-1984 US-4,483,340 Fogarty et al. US-4,493,711 01-15-1985 Chin et al. US-4,494,531 01-22-1985 Gianturco US-4,503,569 03-12-1985 Dotter

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Cite Examiner **Document Number** Name of Patentee or Pages, Columns, Lines, Where **Applicant of Cited Document** Initials **Publication Date** Relevant Passages or Relevant Number-Kind Code (if known) MM-DD-YYYY Figures Appear 03-12-1985 SC US-4,504,354 George et al. US-4,512,338 04-23-1985 Balko et al. 05-14-1985 Samson US-4,516,972 US-4,531,933 07-30-1985 Norton et al. US-4,550,447 11-05-1985 Seiler, Jr. et al. US-4,553,545 11-19-1985 Maass et al. 12-24-1985 US-4,560,374 Hammerslag US-4,562,596 01-07-1986 Kornberg 02-25-1986 US-4,572,186 Gould et al. US-4,577,631 03-25-1986 Kreamer 04-08-1986 US-4,580,568 Gianturco US-4,586,505 05-06-1986 Sisson et al. US-4,604,762 08-12-1986 Robinson 10-14-1986 US-4,616,652 Simpson US-4,617,932 10-21-1986 Kornberg US-4,619,246 10-28-1986 Molgaard-Nielson et al. 10-28-1986 US-4,619,261 Guerriero 02-10-1987 US-4,641,653 Rockey 02-17-1987 Mobin-Uddin US-4,643,184 US-4,647,416 03-03-1987 Seiler, Jr. et al. US-4,649,922 03-17-1987 Wiktor US-4,650,466 03-17-1987 Luther 04-07-1987 Wallsten US-4,655,771 US-4,660,559 04-28-1987 McGregor et al. 04-28-1987 US-4,660,560 Klein US-4,665,918 05-19-1987 Garza et al. US-4,670,734 06-02-1987 Caddock US-4,673,409 06-16-1987 Van Kampen US-4,676,241 06-30-1987 Webb et al. US-4,680,031 07-14-1987 Alonso Wiktor US-4,681,110 07-21-1987 10-13-1987 US-4,699,611 Bowden US-4,704,126 11-03-1987 Baswell et al. US-4,705,517 11-10-1987 DiPisa, Jr. US-4,706,671 11-17-1987 Weinrib

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006								
Examiner	Cite	Document Number	Issue or	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where			
Initials	No.	Number-Kind Code (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear			
SC		US-4,710,181	12-01-1987	Fuqua				
SC		US-4,723,549	02-09-1988	Wholey et al.				
sc		US-4,731,054	03-15-1988	Billeter et al.				
		US 4,733,665	03 20 1088	Polmay				
SC	*	US-4,739,762	04-26-1988	Palmaz				
	*	US-4,740,207	04-26-1988	Kreamer				
		US-4,748,982	06-07-1988	Horzewski et al.				
		US-4,760,849	08-02-1988	Kropf				
	*	US-4,762,128	08-09-1988	Rosenbluth				
	*	US-4,767,418	08-30-1988	Deininger et al.				
	-	US-4,768,507	09-06-1988	Fischell et al.				
		US-4,771,773	09-20-1988	Kropf				
_		US-4,771,777	09-20-1988	Horzewski et al.				
		US-4,775,426	10-04-1988	Murley et al.				
	*	US-4,776,337	10-11-1988	Palmaz				
		US-4,787,899	11-29-1988	Lazarus				
		US-4,793,348	12-27-1988	Palmaz				
		US-4,794,928	01-03-1989	Kletschka				
	*	US-4,795,458	01-03-1989	Regan				
	*	US-4,800,882	01-31-1989	Gianturco				
	<u> </u>	US-4,813,925	03-21-1989	Anderson, Jr. et al.				
		US-4,823,814	04-25-1989	Drogendijk et al.				
	*	US-4,830,003	05-16-1989	Wolff et al.	·			
1		US-4,842,575	06-27-1989	Hoffman, Jr. et al.				
11	*	US-4,848,343	07-18-1989	Wallsten et al.				
	*	US-4,856,516	08-15-1989	Hillstead				
		US-4,869,714	09-26-1989	Deininger et al.				
1	*	US-4,870,966	10-03-1989	Dellon et al.				
+		US-4,875,480	10-24-1989	Imbert				
+	*	US-4,877,030	10-31-1989	Beck et al.				
1	*	US-4,878,906	11-07-1989	Lindemann et al.				
+-	*	US-4,886,062	12-12-1989	Wiktor				
		US-4,887,997	12-19-1989	Okada				
∇	*	US-4,892,539	01-09-1990	Koch				
SC	*	US-4,893,623	01-16-1990	Rosenbluth				

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Examiner Cite Document Number Issue or Name of Patentee or **Publication Date** Initials No. Applicant of Cited Document Relevant Passages or Relevant Number-Kind Code (if known) MM-DD-YYYY Figures Appear SC 01-09-1990 US-4,892,541 Alonso US-4.902.289 02-20-1990 Yannas US-4,907,336 03-13-1990 Gianturco * US-4,913,141 04-03-1990 Hillstead US-4.921,479 05-01-1990 Grayzel US-4,922,905 05-08-1990 Strecker US-4,923,464 05-08-1990 DiPisa, Jr. US-4,943,346 07-24-1990 Mattelin US-4,950,227 08-21-1990 Savin et al. US-4,954,126 09-04-1990 Wallstén US-4,963,022 10-12-1990 Sommargren US-4,969,458 11-13-1990 Wiktor US-4,969,890 11-13-1990 Sugita et al. 01-22-1991 US-4,986,831 King et al. US-4,988,356 01-29-1991 Crittenden et al. 02-05-1991 US-4,990,155 Wilkoff US-4,994,032 02-19-1991 Sugiyama et al. 02-19-1991 US-4,994,071 MacGregor US-4,994,077 02-19-1991 Dobben US-4,998,539 03-12-1991 * Delsanti US-4,998,923 03-12-1991 Samson et al. US-5,002,560 03-26-1991 Machold et al. US-5,007,926 04-16-1991 Derbyshire 05-14-1991 US-5,015,253 MacGregor US-5,019,085 05-28-1991 Hillstead US-5,019,090 05-28-1991 **Pinchuk** US-5,026,377 06-25-1991 Burton et al. US-5,034,001 07-23-1991 Garrison et al. * US-5,035,706 07-30-1991 Gianturco et al. US-5,037,377 08-06-1991 Alonso US-5,037,392 08-06-1991 Hillstead US-5,037,427 08-06-1991 Harada et al. 08-20-1991 * US-5,041,126 Gianturco US-5,059,211 10-22-1991 Stack et al. US-5,061,273 10-29-1991 Yock

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Document Number Issue or Name of Patentee or Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Examiner Cite Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY Applicant of Cited Document Relevant Passages or Relevant Initials No. Number-Kind Code (if known) Figures Appear SC US-5,061,275 10-29-1991 Wallsten et al. US-5,062,829 11-05-1991 Pryor et al. US-5.064.435 11-12-1991 Porter US-5,071,407 12-10-1991 Termin et al. * US-5,073,694 12-17-1991 Tessier et al. 01-07-1992 US-5,078,720 Burton et al. * US-5,078,726 01-07-1992 Kreamer 01-07-1992 US-5,078,736 Behl 01-28-1992 US-5,084,065 Weldon et al. * US-5,089,005 02-18-1992 Harada 02-18-1992 US-5,089,006 Stiles * US-5,092,877 03-03-1992 **Pinchuk** US-5,100,429 03-31-1992 Sinofsky et al. US-5,102,417 04-07-1992 Palmaz 04-14-1992 US-5,104,399 Lazarus SC US-5,104,404 04 14 1992 Walff US-5,108,416 04-28-1992 Ryan et al. SC 04-28-1992 US-5,108,417 Sawyer US-5,116,318 05-26-1992 Hillstead 05-26-1992 US-5,116,360 * Pinchuk et al. US-5,116,365 05-26-1992 Hillstead 06-16-1992 US-5,122,154 Rhodes SC US-5,123,917 06-23-1992 Lee U0-5,133,732 07-20-1992 Wikto 08-04-1992 US-5,135,536 Hillstead SC US-5,158,548 10-27-1992 Lau et al. US-5,161,547 11-10-1992 Tower US-5,163,951 11-17-1992 Pinchuk et al. 11-17-1992 US-5,163,952 Froix US-5,163,958 11-17-1992 Pinchuk 12-15-1992 US-5,171,262 MacGregor 01-19-1993 US-5,180,368 Garrison US-5,183,085 02-02-1993 * **Timmermans** 03-09-1993 US-5,192,297 Hull US-5,192,307 03-09-1993 Wall SC

126924.1

/Sara Clarke/

Page 6 of 10

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Cite Document Number Examiner Issue or Name of Patentee or Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY Relevant Passages or Relevant Applicant of Cited Document Initials No. Number-Kind Code (if known) Figures Appear 03-09-1993 SC US-5,192,311 King et al. 03-23-1993 US-5,195,984 Schatz 03-30-1993 US-5,197,978 Hess 04-13-1993 US-5,201,901 Harada et al. US-5,217,482 06-08-1993 Keith * US-5,222,971 06-29-1993 Willard et al. US-5,226,913 07-13-1993 **Pinchuk** US-Re. 34,327 07-27-1993 Kreamer US-5,234,456 08-10-1993 Silvestrini 08-17-1993 US-5,236,447 Kubo et al. 09-07-1993 US-5,242,394 **Tremulis** US-5,242,399 09-07-1993 Lau et al. 09-07-1993 US-5,242,452 Inoue US-5,266,073 11-30-1993 Wall US-5,275,622 01-04-1994 Lazarus et al. US-5,282,823 02-01-1994 Schwartz et al. US-5,282,824 02-01-1994 Gianturco 02-01-1994 US-5,282,848 Schmitt 03-01-1994 US-5,290,295 Querals et al. US-5,290,305 03-01-1994 Inoue US-5,292,331 03-08-1994 Boneau US-5,304,200 04-19-1994 Spaulding 04-26-1994 US-5,306,286 Stack et al. US-5,314,444 05-24-1994 Gianturco 05-24-1994 US-5,314,472 Fontaine US-5.330,500 07-19-1994 Song US-5,342,348 08-30-1994 Kaplan 09-06-1994 US-5,344,426 Lau et al. US-5,354,308 10-11-1994 Simon et al. US-5,354,309 10-11-1994 Schnepp-Pesch et al. US-5,356,433 10-18-1994 Rowland et al. US-5,360,401 11-01-1994 Turnland US-5,368,566 11-29-1994 Crocker US-5,372,600 12-13-1994 Beyar et al. US-5,378,239 01-03-1995 Termin et al. SC

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Examiner Cite Issue or **Document Number** Name of Patentee or Pages, Columns, Lines, Where **Publication Date** Applicant of Cited Document Initials No. Relevant Passages or Relevant Number-Kind Code (if known) MM-DD-YYYY Figures Appear SC 01-17-1995 US-5,382,261 Palmaz US-5.383.892 01-24-1995 Cardon et al. US-5,397,345 03-14-1995 Lazarus 04-11-1995 US-5,405,378 Strecker US-5,411,552 05-02-1995 Anderson et al. 06-06-1995 US-5,421,955 Lau et al. US-5,423,745 06-13-1995 Todd et al. US-5,423,885 06-13-1995 Williams US-5,443,496 08-22-1995 Schwartz et al. US-5,445,646 08-25-1995 Euteneuer et al. US-5,449,373 09-12-1995 Pinchasik et al. US-5,456,694 10-10-1995 Marin et al. US-5,458,615 10-17-1995 Klemm et al. US-5,476,476 12-19-1995 Hillstead 01-16-1996 US-5,484,449 Amundson et al. US-5,507,767 04-16-1996 Maeda et al. US-5,507,768 04-16-1996 Lau et al. US-5,507,771 04-16-1996 Gianturco 05-07-1996 US-5,514,154 Lau et al. US-5,527,336 06-18-1996 Rosenbluth et al. US-5,545,132 08-13-1996 Fagan et al. US-5,549,635 08-27-1996 Solar US-5,549,663 08-27-1996 Cottone, Jr. US-5,554,181 09-10-1996 Das US-5,556,413 09-17-1996 Lam US-5,562,728 10-08-1996 Lazarus et al. US-5,569,295 10-29-1996 Lam US-5,571,135 11-05-1996 Fraser et al. US-5,591,197 01-07-1997 Orth et al. US-5,591,198 01-07-1997 Boyle et al. US-5,603,721 02-18-1997 Lau et al. US-5,618,299 04-08-1997 Khosravi et al. US-5,618,301 04-08-1997 Hauenstein et al. US-5,626,604 05-06-1997 Cottone, Jr. US-5,653,690 08-05-1997 Booth et al.

U.S. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS Reexam Control No. 90/007.890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Cite Examiner **Document Number** Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document Initials No. **Publication Date** Relevant Passages or Relevant Number-Kind Code (if known) Figures Appear MM-DD-YYYY SC 08-05-1997 US-5,653,691 Rupp et al. US-5,653,727 08-05-1997 Wiktor US-5,669,936 09-23-1997 Lazarus 10-07-1997 US-5,674,278 Boneau US-5,707,386 01-13-1998 Schnepp-Pesch et al. US-5,716,396 02-10-1998 Williams, Jr. 02-17-1998 US-5,718,713 Frantzen US-5,720,726 02-24-1998 Marcadis et al. US-5,725,570 03-10-1998 Heath US-5,728,158 03-17-1998 Lau et al. 03-31-1998 US-5,733,303 Israel et al. US-5,733,325 03-31-1998 Robinson et al. US-5,735,893 04-07-1998 Lau et al. 05-26-1998 US-5,755,771 Penn et al. US-5,755,776 05-26-1998 Al-Saadon US-5,759,192 06-02-1998 Saunders 06-16-1998 US-5,766,238 Lau et al. US-5,776,161 07-07-1998 Globerman US-5,780,807 07-14-1998 Saunders US-5,782,855 07-21-1998 Lau et al. 09-01-1998 US-5,800,509 Boneau US-5,800,521 09-01-1998 Orth US-5,800,526 09-01-1998 Anderson et al. US-5,810,871 09-22-1998 Tuckey et al. US-5,817,152 10-06-1998 Birdsall et al. US-5.830,217 11-03-1998 Ryan US-5,833,699 11-10-1998 Chuter US-5.836.964 11-17-1998 Richter et al. US-5,836,965 11-17-1998 Jendersee et al. US-5,843,120 12-01-1998 Israel et al. US-5,843,164 12-01-1998 Frantzen et al. US-5,843,175 12-01-1998 Frantzen US-5,868,782 02-09-1999 Frantzen US-5,879,381 03-09-1999 Moriuchi et al. US-5,879,382 03-09-1999 Boneau SC

		U.S. PATENTS	Reexam Contr	ED U.S. PATENT APPLICAT ol No. 90/007,890 Jary 23, 2006	IONS	
Examiner	Cite	Document Number	Issue or	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
Initials	No.	Number-Kind Code (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document		
SC		US-5,891,190	04-06-1999	Boneau		
		US-5,893,852	04-13-1999	Morales		
	*	US-5,902,332	05-11-1999	Schatz		
		US-5,911,732	06-15-1999	Hojeibane		
		US-5,913,897	06-22-1999	Corso, Jr. et al.		
		US-5,925,061	07-20-1999	Ogi et al.		
		US-5,935,162	08-10-1999	Dang	,	
		US-5,972,018	10-26-1999	Israel et al.		
		US-5,980,553	11-09-1999	Gray et al.		
		US-5,984,964	11-16-1999	Roberts et al.		
		US-5,997,468	12-07-1999	Wolff et al.		
		US-6,030,413	02-29-2000	Lazarus		
		US-6,056,776	05-02-2000	Lau et al.		
		US-6,066,167	05-23-2000	Lau et al.		
		US-6,066,168	05-23-2000	Lau et al.		
7		US-6,146,358	11-14-2000	Rowe		
śc		US-6,309,412	10-30-2001	Lau et al.		
		US 6,344,053	02 05 2002	Beneau		
SC		US-6,432,133	08-13-2002	Lau et al.		
sc		US 6,468,611 6,485,511	11-26-2002	Lau et al.		
sc		US-6,511,504	01-28-2003	Lau et al.		

/Sara Clarke/

12/06/2006

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006								
	Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Foreign Patent Document Country Code Number Kind Code (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Translation		
ļ			ED-1-671,240	95-13-1959	- daquat				
98(a)(3)(i) 1			DE 2,001,005	107-00-1970	Moreau et al.				
30 (a) (3) (1)			GB 1,205,743	09-16-1970	Didcott				
	SC		DE 1,665,771	01-21-1971	Hadersbeck et al.				
			01+5+3,507	111131371					
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Mrause -				
			DE 2,001,075	87-48-4978	Oicbert et al:				
ļ			DE 2,410,000	 69-12-1974	Yossias				
			00 110,079	03-12-1970	Gronwaid				
			DE 2,708,046	89 87 1978	Piapport of air.				
	sc		SU 660689	05-08-1979	Kononov				
			120-79268	18-25-1979	Meyers				
			EU 764684	00-25 1080	Bohhob ot all				
			DE 5 030 553	11-27-1080	Hoogovoon at al.				
	SC		GB 1,583,192	01-21-1981	Terry et al.				
			FR.2476,524	03-20-1081	Yamasalis ot al.				
	. SC	#	GB 2,070,490	09-09-1981	Dyson				
	SC		GB 2,092,894	08-25-1982	Robinson				
Î			<u> </u>	10-10-1002	- Deamerm				
.98(a)(2)			JP 58 501458	00-01-1083					
ot legible			DE 2,205,042	88 88 1888	Ocibert				
ssing pages			C8-2-125-585	11-10-1002	Wallston				
y g pages	SC		WO 83/03752	11-10-1983	Wallstén				
			WO 84/00121	01-19-1984	George et al.				
	SC		SU 1,084,091	04-17-1984	Octorige et al.				
ļ	sc		UD 60 500529	07404803					
Ì.			LIPELATAM	02 27 4006					
			S11 1217402	00 15 1988					
. [ļ					
	SC		EP 0,177,330	04-09-1986	Gianturco				
Ĵ			EP 0,477,460	04-09-1900	Danks et ai.				
	SC		EP 0,183,372	06-04-1986	Caponigro				
	SC		EP 0,190,543	08-13-1986	Hansson				
t			DE-3 E1E 8E3	11-13-1885	- Committee				
].	sc	4	EP 0,221,570	05-13-1987	Palmaz				
ţ			LDE 2640745	00 04 4007	Ocia				

126923.1

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006									
	Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Foreign Patent Document Country Code Number Kind Code (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Translation			
			JP 62 213762	00-10-1007		·				
			UP 62-234057	10-12-1907						
pg. 1 —			JP 02-205490	10-15-1907	Makoto et al.					
missing			OE 0,722,740	01-21-1900	Kraus et al.					
			EP 0,250,000	02-24-1900	hving et al.					
	sc		EP 0,274,846	07-20-1988	Rosenbluth					
pg. 1			JP 63 214264	00 06 1000	Troothistat					
missing	SC		EP 0,282,175	09-14-1988	Gianturco					
			JP 03-240170		Gianturo					
	00			10-13-1988						
	SC		EP 0,290,138	11-09-1988	Pollock					
			JP 03-203930	11-20-1900						
			DE 3833060	01 10 1080	ter Meer et al.					
			DE 0,724,479	02-02-1909	Janeson					
			CU 1457021	02-15-1909						
	SC		WO 89/01798	03-09-1989	Jacobsen et al.					
•		-	UP C4 88686	03-29-1909						
	SC		EP 0,308,512	03-29-1989	Minamida et al.					
			AU A-23784/88	04-20-1989	Wiktor		· ·			
			EP 0,312,852	04-26-1989	Wiktor					
			JP 1-145076	06-07-1989	Wiktor					
			WO 89/08433	09-21-1989	Lazarus					
	V	*	EP 0,335,341	10-04-1989	Palmaz					
	SC	*	EP 0,338,816	10-25-1989	Iguchi et al.					
		-	JP 1-200000	12-04-1909						
	SC	*	EP 0,357,003	03-07-1990	Pinchuk					
		•	EP 0,361,103	04 04 1000	Mattelin					
	SC	*	EP 0,364,787	04-25-1990	Schatz		 			
		*	EP 0,372,789	06-13-1990	Muncy et al.					
		*	JP 2-174859	07-06-1990						
		*	EP 0,380,668	08-08-1990	Harada et al.		 			
		*	JP 2-255157	10-15-1990		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
•	- -	*	EP 0,407,951	01-16-1991	D'Ottavio et al.		 			
	 		EP 0,408,245	01-16-1991	Burton et al.	ļ				
•	 \	*	JP 3-9745	01-17-1991			 			
	<u> </u>	L	0. 0-3140	01-14-1991	Nobuko et al.					

		Reex	IGN PATENT I am Control No Filed: January			
Examiner Cit Initials No		Foreign Patent Document Country Code Number Kind Code (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Translation
SC	*	JP 3-9746	01-17-1991	Ryuta		
sc		AU B-61333/90	02-28-1991	Boneau		
SC		JP 3-57465	03-12-1991			
		EP 0,417,028	03-20-1001	Beneau		
SC	*	EP 0,421,729	04-10-1991	Wolff	·	·
sc	*	EP 0,423,916	04-24-1991	Gianturco		
SC		EP 0,428,471	05-22-1991	Wedel et al.		
30		ED 0 429 470	05-00-4004	Deck et al.		
	*	WO 91/07139	05-30-1991	Leonard		
SC		IP 2.151082	05 38 4004	Leonard		
SC .			100			
		WO 91/17720	11-28-1991	Andersen et al.		
SC	*	JP 4-25755	02-28-1992			
SC	*	WO 92/06734	04-30-1992	Song		
SC		EP 0,483,372	05-06-1992	Uchida et al.		
sc	*	WO 92/09246	06-11-1992	Tower		
SC	*	EP 0,517,075	12-09-1992	Macaulay et al.		
		FR 2,677,872	12-24-1992	Ootteneesu		
SC	*	EP 0,540,290 A2	05-05-1993	Lau et al.		
sc	*	EP 0 540 290 B1	05-05-1993	Lau et al.		
		EP 0,541,449	05-12-1993	Ansel et al.		
		JD 5 267008	10 15 1003			
	**	AU B 53108/01	03-17-1904	Doncau		
SC		WO 94/17754	08-18-1994	Schnepp-Pesch et al.		
SC		EP 0,729,767	09-04-1996	Boneau		
		DE 60020114	11 10-1990	Doneau		
		DK/FP.0417928	12.02.1006	Boneou	 	ļ

^{*} missing part of last page

/Sara Clarke/ 12/06/2006

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Reexam Control No. 90/007.890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item Cite Examiner Translation⁶ Initials No.1 (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s). publisher, city and/or country where published. SC "70th Scientific Assembly and Annual Meeting: Scientific Program," Radiology, Washington, D.C.: November 25-30, 1984, Special Edition, Volume 153(P). SC *72nd Scientific Assembly and Annual Meeting: RSNA Scientific Program," Radiology, Chicago: November 30 - December 5, 1986, Special Edition, Volume 161(P). *Program: Day 2 (November 18) The Radiological Society of North America, * Radiology, Chicago: SC November 30 - December 5, 1986, Special Edition, Volume 161(P). ALVARADO, R., et al., "Evaluation of Polymer-Coated Balloon-Expandable Stents in Bile Ducts," Radiology, SC 170, 3: 975-978, March 1989. American Heart Association 61st Scientific Sessions. Abstract Form. *A New Percutaneous Expandable SC Stent." 1988 SC BAIER, R., et al., "Initial Events in Interaction of Blood with a Foreign Surface," Journal of Biomedical Material Research, 3: 191-206, 1969. BALKO, A., et al., 'Transfemoral Placement of Intraluminal Polyurethane Prosthesis for Abdominal Aortic SC Aneurysm," Journal of Surgical Research, 40: 305-309, 1986. SARO, S.R., YE Plus Peripheral Balloon Bilatation Satheter, S.R. Bard, Inc., 1965 BECKER, G., et al., "Early Experience with the Palmaz Stent in Human Iliac Angioplasty," Indiana Medicine, SC 286-292, April 1989. BECKER, G., et al., "Simultaneous Angioplasty and Intraluminal Grafting with the Palmaz Expandable SC Intraluminal Graft," 72rd Scientific Assembly and Annual Meeting of the Radiological Society of North America, Chicago, November/December 1986. BONZEL, T., et al., "The Sliding Rail System (Monorail): Description of a New Technique for Intravascular SC Instrumentation and its Application to Coronary Angioplasty," Kardologie, Supplement 6: 119-122, 1987. Stochare. Amorradic in Positioning Controller, printed by Angrad Corporation CAMPBELL, C., et al., "Expanded Microporous Polytetrafluoroethylene as a Vascular Substitute: A Two SC Year Follow-up," Surgery, 85, no.2: 177-183, February 1979. CARRASCO, C., et al., "Expandable Biliary Endoprosthesis: An Experimental Study," American Journal of Roentgenology, 145: 1279-1281, December 1985. CASTANEDA-ZUNIGA, W., ed., Tranluminal Angioplasty, 1983. CHARNSANGAVEJ, C., et al., "A New Expandable Metallic Stent for Dilation of Stenotic Tubular Structures: Experimental and Clinical Evaluation," Houston Medical Journal, 3, no.2: 41-51, 1987. CHARNSANGAVEJ, C., et al., "Endovascular Stent for Use in Aortic Dissection: An in Vitro Experiment," Radiology, 157: 323-324, 1985. CHARNSANGAVEJ, C., et al., *Stenosis of the Vena Cava: Preliminary Assessment of Treatment with Expandable Metallic Stents," Radiology, 161: 295-298, 1986. CIMOCHOWSKI, G., et al., "Greenfield Filter Versus Mobin-Uddin Umbrella," Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 79, no.3: 358-365, March 1980. COONS, H., et al, "Large-Bore, Long Biliary Endoprostheses (Biliary Stents) for Improved Drainage," Radiology, 148, no.1: 89-94, July 1983. COPE, C., "Balloon Dilatation of Closed Mesocaval Shunts," American Journal of Roentgenology, 135: 989-993, November 1980. CRAGG, A., et al., "A New Percutaneous Vena Cava Filter," American Journal of Roentgenology, 141: 601-604, September 1983. CRAGG, A., et al., "Non-Surgical Placement of Arterial Endoprostheses: A New Technique Using Nitinol Wire," Radiology, 147: 261-263, 1983. CRAGG, A., et al., "Percutaneous Arterial Grafting," Radiology, 150, no.1: 45-49, January 1984. CULVERWELL, M., "Angioplasty Stents May Prevent Restenosis," Carcio, 11-13, January 1987. DALESSANDRI, K., et al., "The Effect of Lumbar Sympathectomy on Postsynaptic Vascular Smooth Muscle Response in the Lower Limb in Dogs," Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology, 11: 82-85, 1988. DE PALMA, V., et al., "Investigation of Three Surface Properties of Several Metals and their relation to Blood Compatibility," Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Symposium, 3: 37-75, 1972. DENNY, D., et al., "Percutaneous Kimray-Greenfield Filter Placement by Femoral Vein Puncture," American SC Journal of Roentgenology, 145: 827-829, October 1985.

illegible.

Does not comply with 37 CFR 1.98(b)(5). Page 1 of 6

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006	
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation
SC		DERIU, G., et al., "The Rationale for Patch-Graft Angioplasty After Carotid Endarterectomy: Early and Long- Term Follow-Up," Stroke, 15: no.6: 972-979, November 1984.	
SC		DICHEK, D.A., et al., "Seeding of Intravascular Stents with Genetically Engineered Endothelial Cells," Circulation, 80: 1237-1353, 1989.	
	**	DORROS, C., et al., "Clinical Research: Angioplasty," Circulation (Cupplement), 74, no.1118: II 363, 1986.	
sc		DOTTER, C., *Interventional Radiology - Review of an Emerging Field,* Seminars in Roentgenology, 16, no.1, January 1981.	
	*	DOTTER, C., "Transluminally-placed Coilspring Endarterial Tube Grafts: Long-term Patency in Canine Popliteal Artery," Investigative Radiology, 4: 329-332, 1969.	
	*	DOTTER, C., et al., "Transluminal Expandable Nitinol Coil Stent Grafting: Preliminary Report," Radiology, 147: 259-260, 1983.	
		DOTTER, C., et al., "Transluminal Treatment of Arteriosclerotic Obstruction," Circulation, 30: 654-670, November 1964.	
	*	DUPRAT, G., et al, "Self-expanding Metallic Stents for Small Vessels: An Experimental Evaluation," Radiology, 192: 469-472, 1987.	
		DUPRAT, G., et al., "Flexible Balloon-expanded Stent for Small Vessels, Work in Progress," <i>Radiology</i> , 162: 276-278, 1987.	
		EDWARDS, W., "Arterial Grafts," Archives of Surgery, 113, no.9: 1225-1233, November 1978.	
		EICHELTER, P., et al., "Prophylaxis of Pulmonary Embolism, Archives of Surgery, 97: 348-356, August 1968.	
		FALLONE, B., "Elastic Characteristics of the Self-Expanding Metallic Stents," <i>Investigative Radiology</i> , 23: 370-376, 1988.	
		FINCI, L., et al., "Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty of Bifurcation Narrowing Using the Kissing Wire Monorail Balloon Technique," <i>The American Journal of Cardiology</i> , April 1987.	-
		FOGARTY, T., et al., "Adjunctive Intraoperative Arterial Dilation: Simplified Instrumentation Technique," Archives of Surgery, 116: 1391-1398, 1981.	
		FOGARTY, T., et al., "Current Status of Dilatation Catheters and Guiding Systems," American Journal of Cardiology, 53, no.12: 97C-100C, June 1984.	
∇		FOGARTY, T., et al., "Intraoperative Coronary Artery Balloon-Catheter Dilation," American Heart Journal, 107, no.4: 845-851, 1984.	
sc		FRIMBERGER, E., "Expanding Spiral - A New Type of Prosthesis for the Palliative Treatment of Malignant Esophageal Stenoses," <i>Endoscopy</i> , 15: 213-214, 1983.	_
		FURUI, S., et al., "Hepatic Inferior Vena Cava Obstruction: Treatment of Two Types with Giantures Expandable Metallic Clents," Nadiology, 170, 805-070, 1990.	
sc		GARDNER, R., et al., "The Surgical Experience and a One to Sixteen Year Follow-Up of 277 Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms," <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 135, no.1: 226-230, January 1978.	
		GOLDSTEIN, H., et al, "Transcatheter Occlusion of Abdominal Tumors," <i>Radiology</i> , 120, no.3: 539-545, September 1976.	
		GREENFIELD, L., et al, "A New Intracaval Filter Permitting Continued Flow and Resolution of Emboli," Surgery, 73, no.4: 599-606, April 1973.	
		GUNTHER, R., et al, "Percutaneous Nephropyelsotomy Using a Fine-Needle Puncture Set," Radiology, 132, no.1: 228-230, July 1979.	-
		GUNTHER, R., et al., "Vena Caval Filter to Prevent Pulmonary Embolism: Experimental Study," <i>Radiology</i> , 156, no.2: 315-320, August 1985.	
		HARRIES-JONES, E., et al., "Repositioning of Biliary Endoprosthesis with Gruntzig Balloon Catheters," American Journal of Roentgenology, 138: 771-772, April 1982.	
		HARRINGTON, J., et al., "The Palmaz-Schatz Stent," Handbook of Cardiovascular Interventions/Vascular Interventions, 536-572.	
		HOEVELS, J., et al., "Percutaneous Transhepatic Insertion of a Permanent Endoprosthesis on Obstructive Lesions of the Extrahepatic Bile Ducts," <i>Gastrointestinal Radiology</i> , 4: 367-377, 1979.	
∇		HONICKMAN, S., et al., "Malpositioned Biliary Endoprosthesis," Radiology, 144: 423-425, July 1982.	
SC		HUNTER, J., et al., "Experimental Balloon Obstruction of the Inferior Vena Cava," <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 171, no.2: 315-320, February 1970.	

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Reexam Control No. 90/007.890 Filed: January 23, 2006 Examiner Cite Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item Translation⁶ No.1 Initials (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published. TOWN Technical Disclosure Bulleun, Band 11, Nr. 9, Pepruary 1969, Serie 1151, New York, US J.P. Smith ua: Coloctivoly-comoving-dictorationaterials* SC INOUE, K., et al., "Clinical Application of Transvenous Mitral Commissurotomy by a New Balloon Catheter," Thoracic Cardiovascular Surgery, 87, no.3: 394-402, March 1984. documed of the American College of Cardiology (Capplement A). 100A, (from Adstracts of the SOth Armad Scientific Dession, American Sollege of Cardiology, New Orleans, Codistana, Warch 6-12, 1907), Elsevier (Tobroary 1907). tole TENDACT, M., Aboutacto, Zoitochin far Karotiologio, April 3, 1001 (Sommanonin); not in-English KAN, J., et al., "Percutaneous Balloon Valvuloplasty: A New Method for Treating Congenital Pulmonary-SC Valve Stenosis," New England Journal of Medicine, 307, no.9, 540-542, 1982. KERLAN, R., et al., "A Simple Method for Insertion of Large Untapered Catheters," American Journal of Roentgenology, 141: 792, 1983. KERLAN, R., et al., "Biliary Endoprostheses: Insertion Using a Combined Peroral-Transhepatic Method," Radiology, 150, no.3: 828-830, 1984. LABABIDI, Z., et al., "Percutaneous Balloon Aortic Valvuloplasty: Results in 23 Patients," American Journal of Cardiology, 53: 194-197, January 1984. LARY, B., et al., "The Experimental Use of Steel Mesh Tubes for Replacement Arterial Segments," AMA Archives of Surgery, 72: 69-75, January 1956. LAWRENCE, D., et al., "Percanteous Endovascular Graft: Experimental Evaluation," Radiology, 163: 357-LEWANDOWSKI, B., et al., "The Air-Filled Hepatic Duct: The Saber Sign as an Aid to the Radiographic Diagnosis Pneumobilia," Radiology, 153, no.2: 329-332, November 1984. LUND, G., et al., "A New Vena Caval Filter for Percutaneous Placement and Retrieval: Experimental Study," Radiology, 152, no.2: 369-372, August 1984. LUNDERQUITST, A., et al., "Guidewire for Percutaneous Transhepatic Cholangiography," Radiology, 132, no.1: 228, July 1979. MAASS, D., et al., "Radiological Follow-up of Transluminally Inserted Vascular Endoprostheses: An Experimental Study Using Expanding Spirals," Radiology, 152: 659-663, 1984. MEENAGHAN, M., et al., "Tissue Response to Surface-Treated Tantalum Implants: Preliminary Observations in Primates," Biomedical Materials Research, 13, no.4: 631-643, July 1979. MIRICH, D., et al., "Percantaneously Placed Endovascular Grafts for Aortic Aneurysms: Feasibility Study," Radiology, 170: 1033-1037, 1989. MOBIN UDDIN, K., et al., "The Inferior vena Cava Umbrella Filter," Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases. 17, no.5: 391-399, March/April 1975. MOBIN-UDDIN, K., et al., "Caval Interruption for Prevention of Pulmonary Embolism," Archives of Surgery, 99: 711-715, December 1969. MULLER, D., et al., "Advances in Coronary Angioplasty: Endovascular Stents," Coronary Artery Disease, 1: 438, July/August 1990. MULLINS, C., et al., "Implantation of Balloon-Expandable Intravascular Grafts by Cathertization in Pulmonary Arteries and Systemic Veins," Circulation, 77: 188-189, 1988. NANDA, R., et al., "Effect of Maxillary Osteotomy on Subsequent Craniofacial Growth in Adolescent Monkeys," American Journal of Orthod., 83: 391-407, May 1983. PALESTRANT, Al., et al., "Comparative In Vitro Evaluation of the Nitinol Inferior Vena Cava Filter," Radiology, 145: 351-355, November 1982. PALMAZ, J., "Balloon Expandable Intra-Arterial Stents: Effect of Anticoagulation on Thrombus Formation." Circulation (Supplement Part II), 76, no.4: 180, October 1987. PALMAZ, J., "Balloon-Expandable Intravascular Stent," American Journal of Roentgenology, 150: 1263-1269, June 1988. PALMAZ, J., *Chapter 30: Overview of Intravascular Stents,* in Kim, D., et al., Peripheral Vascular Imaging and Intervention, 507-508, 1992. PALMAZ, J., "Die intraluminale & Implantation nach Palmaz," Radiologe, 11: 560-563, 1987. PALMAZ, J., "Expandable Intraluminal Vascular Graft: A Feasibility Study," Surgery, 2: 199-205, 1986. šc PALMAZ, J., et al., "Atherosclerotic Rabbit Aortas: Expandable Intraluminal Grafting," Radiology, 3: 723-726, 1986.

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890	
		Filed: January 23, 2006	
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation
sc I		PALMAZ, J., et al., "Balloon Expandable Intraluminal Grafting of Normal and Abnormal Renal Arteries: Experimental Study," 72 rd Scientific Assembly and Annual Meeting, Radiology Society of North America, Chicago, 1-23 [plus figures], November 1986.	
		PALMAZ, J., et al., "Balloon-Expandable Intraarterial Stents: Effect of Antithrombotic Medication on Thrombus Foramtion," <i>Pros and COns in PTA and Auxiliary Methods</i> , 170-178, 1989.	
		PALMAZ, J., et al., "Early Endothelisation of Balloon-expandable Stents: Experimental Observations, Journal of Interventional Radiology, 3: 119-124, 1988.	
		PALMAZ, J., et al., "Expandable Intrahepatic Portacaval Shunt Stents: Early Experience in the Dog," American Journal of Roentgenology, 145: 821-825, 1985.	
		PALMAZ, J., et al., "Expandable Intrahepatic Portacaval Shunt Stents in Dogs with Chronic Portal Hypertension," <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 147: 1251-1254, 1986.	
	t	PALMAZ, J., et al., "Expandable Intraluminal Graft: A Preliminary Study," Radiology, 156: 73-77, 1985.	
		PALMAZ, J., et al., "Intraluminal Stents in Arterosclerotic Iliac Artery Stenosis: Preliminary Report of Multicenter Study, " Radiology, 168, no.3: 727-731, September 1988.	
		PALMAZ, J., et al., "Normal Stenotic Renal Arteries: Experimental Balloon-Expandable Intraluminal Stenting," <i>Radiology</i> , 164: 705-708, September 1987.	
		PALMAZ, J., et al., "Removable Biliary Endoprosthesis," <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 140: 812-814, April 1983.	
		PALMAZ, J., Monograph (1980).	·
		PALMAZ, J., Monograph (May 18, 1983).	
		PALMAZ, J., The Current Status of Vascular Prosthesis," Presentation of The Society of CV & Interventional Radiology' Twelfth Annual Course on Diagnostic Angiography and Interventional Radiology, 118-120, March 23-26, 1987.	
		PAPANICOLAOU, N., et al., "Insertion of a Biliary Endoprosthesis Using a Balloon Dilation Catheter," Gastrointestinal Radiology, 10: 394-396, 1985.	
		PATE, J. et al., "A New Form of Vena Caval Interruption," <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 169, no.6, 873–880, June 1969.	
		PUEL, J., et al., "Intravascular Stents to Prevent Restenosis After Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty," Circulation (Supplement Part II), 76, no.4: 0105, October 1987.	
		RASHKIND, W., et al., "Creation of an Atrial Septal Defect Without Thoracotomy: A Palliative Approach to Complete Transposition of the Great Arteries," <i>Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 196: 173-174, June 1966.	
		REES, C., et al., *Angioplasty and Stenting of Completely Occuluded Iliac Arteries,* Radiology (Part 2), 172, no.3, 953-959, Sept 1989.	
		REES, C., et al., *DSA in Acute Gastrointestinal Hemorrhage: Clinical and in Vitro Studies,* Radiology, 169, no.2: 499-503, November 1988.	
		REES, C., et al., "The Hemodynamic Effects of the Administration of Ionic and Nonionic Contrast Materials into the Pulmonary Arteries of a Canine Model of Acute Pulmonary Hypertension," <i>Investigative Radiology</i> , 23, no.3: 184-189, March 1988.	
		RICHTER, G., et al., "Der Transjuguslaere Intrahepatische Portosystemische Stent-Shunt (TIPSS); Eine Neue Nichtoperative, Perkutane Methode," <i>Radiologe</i> , 29: 406-411, 1989.	
		RICHTER, G., et al., "Die Behandlung eines akuten Beckenarterienverschlusses durch Katheterlyse, Katheterdilatation und Implantation einer neuartigen metallischen Gefa.beta.ednoprothese," <i>Der chirurg</i> , 60, no.5: 346-351, May 1989.	
		RING, E., et al., "A Simple, Indwelling Biliary Endoprosthesis Made From Common Available Catheter Material," <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 139: 615-617, September 1982.	
		ROEHM, J., et al., "Percutaneous Transcatheter Filter for the Interior Vena Cava," Radiology, 150, no.1: 255-257, January 1984.	
	ļ	ROLAND, M., "Spiral Teflon Stent for Tuboplasty Involving Fimbria, Obstetrics Gynecology, 36: 359-362. 1970.	
<u> </u>		ROLLINS, N., et al., "Self-expanding Metallic Stents: Preliminary Evaluation in an Atheroschlerotic Model," Radiology, 163, no.3: 739-742, June 1987.	
ŠC	*	ROSCH, J., et al., "Experimental Intrahepatic Portacaval Anastomosis: Use of Expandable Gianturco Stents," Radiology, 162: 481-485, 1987.	

/Sara Clarke/

126922.1

			NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006	
	Examiner Initials	Cite No.¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation ⁶
i	SC I	#	ROSCH, J., et al., "Gianturco Expandable Stents in Experimental and Clinical Use," <i>Diagnostic Angiography and Interventional Radiology</i> , 12 th Annual Course, March 23-26, 1987.	
		₩	ROSCH, J., et al., "Gianturco Expandable Wire Stents in the Treatment of Superior Vena Cava Syndrome Recurring After Maximum-Tolerance Radiation," Cancer, 60: 1243-1246, 1987.	
		☆	ROSCH, J., et al., "Modified Gianturco Expandable Wire Stents in Experimental and Clinical Use," <i>Annales de Radiologie</i> , 31, no. 2: 100-103, 1987.	
İ		*	ROSCH, J., et al., "Transjugular Intrahepatic Portacaval Shunt: An Experimental Work," American Journal of Surgery, 121: 588-592, May 1971.	
			ROUBIN, G., et al., "Early and Late Results of Intracoronary Arterial Stenting After Coronary Angioplasty in Dogs," Circulation, 4: 891-897, 1987.	
	$\nabla \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$		ROUSSEAU, H., et al., "Percutaneous Vascular Stent: Experimental Studies and Preliminary Clinical Results in peripheral Arterial Diseases," <i>Inter. Angio.</i> , 6: 153-161, 1987.	
	sc		ROUSSEAU, H., et al., "Self-Expanding Endovascular Prosthesis: An Experimental Study," Radiology, 164: 709-714, September 1987.	
illegible			SAUNDERS, YV., Donand's lilostrated Wiedicar Dictionary, 070 & 709, 20 Edition, 1901.	
	SC		SCHATZ, R., *Introduction to Intravascular Stents,* Cardiology Clinics, 6, no.3: 357-372, 1988.	
•	sc		SCHATZ, R., et al., "A View of Vascular Stents," Circulation, 79: 445-457, 1989.	
not	sc		SCHATZ, R., et al., "Balloon Expandable Intracoronary Stents in Dogs," Circulation (Supplement Part II), 74: II-458, 1824, 1986, 1986.	
provided			SCHATZ, R., et al., Balloon Expandable Intravascular Grants, 10 Annual Symposium of the Texas Health Institute—International Symposium on Interventional Sardiology, Houston, September 1985.	
,	SC		SCHATZ, R., et al., "Balloon-Expandable Intracoronary Stents in the Adult Dog," Circulation, 76, no.2 450-457, 1987.	
	SC		SCHATZ, R., et al., "Intravascular Stents for Angioplasty," Cardio, 27-31, December 1987.	,
	sc		SCHATZ, R., et al., "New Technology in Angioplasty: Balloon-Expandable Intravascular Stents," New Developments in Medicine, 2, no.2: 59-75, Sept 1987.	
		N'AC	SECHEER, C.S., Elasticity in Engineering, 1930.	
	sc		SEMB, B., et al., "Balloon Valvulotomy of Congenital Pulmonary Valve Stenosis with Tricuspid Valve Insufficiency," Cardiovascular Radiology, 2: 239-241, 1979.	
no date	·		OISWART, O., et al., "initial Experience With A Wew Approach to Stending of Peripheral and Soromary Addition!"	
	SC		SIGWART, U., et al., "Intravascular Stents to Prevent Occlusion and Restenosis After Transluminal Angioplasty," New England Journal of Medicine, 316: 701-706, 1987.	,
		**	318WART, V., et al., 'One Year of Percuianeous Goronary Stenting,' Sirealetion (8upplement Part III), 75,	
	SC		SIMON, M., et al., " A Vena Cava Filter Using Thermal Shape Memory Alloy," Radiology, 125: 89-94, October 1977.	
	SC		SIMONDS, A.K., et al., "Use of Expandable Metal Stents in the Treatment of Bronchial Obstruction," <i>Thorax</i> , 44: 680, May 1989.	
	SC	·	SMITH, D., et al., "Safe and Effective Catheter Angiography Through Prosthetic Vascular Grafts," Radiology, 138, no.2: 487-488, February 1981.	
	SC		SOLBERG, S., et al., "Cold Induced Endothelial Cell Detachment in Human Sephenous Vein Grafts," Journal of Cardiovascular, 28, no.5: 571-575, September-October 1987.	
			-Soviet Inventions Historials of week 84, 18th January 1885, no. 18, M21 751, Berman Publications Edd.,	
	sc		STACK, R., et al., "A New Highly Flexible Balloon-Expandable Endovascular Stent: Initial Experimental Results and Up To Six Months Follow-up," Laser One Meeting, Newport Beach, California, May 11-13, 1989.	
	sc		STRECKER, E., et al., "A New Vascular Balloon-expandable Prosthesis - Experimental Studies and First Clinical Results," <i>Journal of Interventional Radiology</i> , 3: 59-62, 1988.	
	sc		STRECKER, E., et al., "Perkutan Implantierbare, Durth Balloon Aufdehnbare Gefa.beta.prothese," Dtsch Med Wschr, 113, no.4, 538-542, 1988.	

/Sara Clarke/

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Reexam Control No. 90/007,890 Filed: January 23, 2006	
Examiner Cite No.1		Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation ⁶
SC		STRUPP, G., et al., "Clinical and Angiographic Short and Medium Term Results After Coronary Stenting," Z kardiol, 81: 500, 1992 (German with English language summary).	
SC		TEPLICK, S., et al., "A New Biliary Endoprosthesis," <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 141: 799-801, October 1983.	
	**	TiMOSHENKO, S.P., Strength of Materials, Part I, Elementary Theory and Problems, 1990.	
	**	TIMOSHENKO, S.P., et al., Theory of Elastic Otability, 1981.	
sc		TOPOL, E., Textbook of Interventional Cardiology, Chapter 30, by S. Ellis, 623-632, 1990.	
		TOSHIYUKI, I., et al., "Relocatable Gianturco Expandable Metallic Stents," Radiology, 178: 575, February 1991.	
		TRENT, M., et al., *A Balloon-Expandable Intravascular Stent for Obliterating Experimental Aortic Dissection,* <i>Journal of Vascular Surgery</i> , 11: 707-717, May 1990.	
		UCHIDA, B., et al., "Modifications of Gianturco Expandable Wire Stents," <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 150: 1185-1187, 1988.	
		VAN DER GIESSEN, W., et al., "Coronary Stenting With a New, Radiopaque, Ballon-Expandable Endoprosthesis in Pigs," <i>Circulation</i> , 83: 1788-1798, 1991.	
	*	WALLACE, M., et al., "Tracheobronchial Tree: Expandable Metallic Stents Used in Experimental and Clinical Applications, Work in Progress," <i>Radiology</i> , 158: 309-312, 1986.	
	*	WRIGHT, K., et al., "Percantaneous Endovascular Stents: An Experimental Evaluation," <i>Radiology</i> , 156: 69-72, 1985.	
		YOSHIMURA, H., et al., "Afterloading Intracavitary Irradiation and Expanding stent for Malignant Biliary Obstruction," Radiation Medicine, 7: 36-41, 1989.	
	*	YOSHIOKA, T., et al., "Development and Clinical Application of Biliary Endoprosthesis Using Expandable Metallic Stents," <i>Japan Radiological Society</i> , 48: 1183-1185, 1988.	
4		YOSHIOKA, T., et al., "Expandable Metallic Biliary Endoprostheses: Preliminary Clinical Evaluation," Radiology, 117: 253-257, 1990	
SC	*	YOSHIOKA, T., et al., "Self-Expanding Endovascular Graft: An Experimental Study in Dogs," AJR, 151: 673-676, 1988.	

/Sara Clarke/ 12/06/2006

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

90/007,890 Application Number January 23, 2006 Filing Date Lilip Lau First Named Inventor 3993 Group Art Unit Sara Clarke Examiner Name

Complete if Known

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO

(use as many sheets as necessary)
of 7 ACS 74381 (0380CXDDD2-RX) Sheet 1 Attorney Docket Number

	OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹ .	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²			
SC		Vascular's Opening Claim Construction Brief for the Lau Patents, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (08/13/2004)				
		Plaintiff's Answering Claim Construction Brief Regarding Lau Patent Terms, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (09/24/2004)				
		Memorandum Opinion Granting ACS's Motion for Summary Judgment That Michael D. Boneau is Not an Inventor of the Lau Patents and That the Lau Patents are Not Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f), ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (01/05/2005)				
		Memorandum Order Defining Lau Patent Terms, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (01/05/2005)				
		Notice of Medtronic Vascular, Inc. to Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. and Guidant Sales Corporation Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (01/10/2005)				
		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume A, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/07/2005)				
		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume B, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/08/2005)				
		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume C, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/09/2005)				
		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume D, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/10/2005)				
		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume E, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/11/2005)				
		Medtronic's Corrected Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/11/2005)				
		ACS's Opposition to Medtronic's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on Infringement, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/14/2005)				
		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume F, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/15/2005)				
sc		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume G, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/16/2005)				

	Complete if Known		
Substitute for form 1449B/PTO	Application Number	90/007,890	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	January 23, 2006	
	First Named Inventor	Lilip Lau	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Group Art Unit	3993	
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	Sara Clarke	
Sheet 2 of 7	Attorney Docket Number	ACS 74381 (0380CXDDD2-RX)	

		OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹ .	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T
sc		ACS's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law That The '154, '167, '168 and '133 Patents are (1) Not Invalid as Anticipated, (2) Not Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, and (3) Not Invalid as Obvious, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/16/2005)	
		ACS's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law That the Accused Medtronic Products Infringe the Asserted Claims of the Lau Patents-in-Suit, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/16/2005)	
		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume H, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/17/2005)	
		Trial Transcript (Liability), Volume I, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/18/2005)	
		Jury Verdict, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (02/18/2005)	
		Medtronic's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (04/18/2005)	
		Trial Transcript (Inequitable Conduct), ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (06/07/2005)	
		Trial Transcript (Inequitable Conduct), ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (06/08/2005)	
		ACS's Response to Medtronic's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (06/17/2005)	
		Medtronic's Reply Brief in Support of Its Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (07/18/2005)	
		Medtronic's Opening Post-Trial Brief on ACS's Inequitable Conduct Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (07/28/2005)	
		ACS's Post Trial Brief in Response to Medtronic's Allegations of Inequitable Conduct, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (09/19/2005)	
		Medtronic's Reply Post-Trial Brief on ACS's Inequitable Conduct Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, ACS v. AVE, 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (10/07/2005)	
V _{SC}		Docket, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (Undated)	

	Complete if Known		
Substitute for form 1449B/PTO	Application Number	90/007,890	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	January 23, 2006	
	First Named Inventor	Lilip Lau	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Group Art Unit	3993	
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	Sara Clarke	
Sheet 3 of 7	Attomey Docket Number	ACS 74381 (0380CXDDD2-RX)	

	OTHER PRIOR ART NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹ .	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²			
sc 		Memorandum of Law In Support of Plaintiff's Proposed Construction of Patent Claims, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (07/29/1999)				
		Defendant's Pre-Markman Hearing Memorandum on Claim Construction, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (07/29/1999)				
		Defendant's Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction of Patent Claims, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (08/12/1999)				
		Memorandum of Law in Reply to Defendants' Pre-Markman Hearing Memorandum on Claim Construction, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (08/12/1999)				
		Expert Witness Report of John F. Witherspoon, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (09/27/1999)				
		Expert Report of Dr. David Cumberland, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (09/27/1999)				
		Entry on Claim Construction Issues, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (10/15/1999)	į			
		Supplemental Expert Report of Dr. C. Forbes Dewey, Jr., ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (11/12/1999)				
		Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion for Additional Findings on Certain Claim Construction Issues and Reconsideration of One Issue, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (11/19/1999)				
		Supplement to Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for Additional Findings on Claim Construction Issues and Reconsideration of One Issue, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (12/01/1999)				
		Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Additional Findings on Claim Construction Issues and Reconsideration of One Issue, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (12/06/1999)				
		Reply Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion for Additional Findings on Claim Construction Issues and Reconsideration of One Issue, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (12/15/1999)				
T		Memorandum of Law in Support of Guidant/ACS's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Against Defendants' Affirmative Defense of Inequitable Conduct in Obtaining the Patents in Suit, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (12/15/1999)				
V sc		Defendants Notice to the Court that the Issue Raised by Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding Inventorship by Michael Boneau is Moot, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (12/22/1999)				

a Line 6 de 1440 P.P.TO	Complete if Known		
Substitute for form 1449B/PTO	Application Number 90/007,890 Filing Date January 23, 2006 First Named Inventor Lilip Lau Group Art Unit 3993 Examiner Name Sara Clarke		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	January 23, 2006	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Lilip Lau	
STATEMENT BY AFFLICANT	Group Art Unit	3993	
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	Sara Clarke	
Sheet 4 of 7	Attomey Docket Number	ACS 74381 (0380CXDDD2-RX)	

	OTHER PRIOR ART NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹ .	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Т		
sc		Reply Memorandum of Law in Further Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Against Defendants' Affirmative Defense of Inequitable Conduct Concerning Michael Boneau, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (12/29/1999)			
		Defendants SciMed Life Systems, Inc. and Boston Scientific Corporation's Notice Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (01/21/2000)			
		Order, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (02/09/2000)			
		Entry on Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Defendants' Affirmative Defense of Inequitable Conduct in Obtaining the Patents in Suit, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (02/09/2000)			
		Entry on Defendants' Motion for Supplemental Claim Construction, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (02/09/2000)			
		Motion to Withdraw Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (05/18/2000)			
		Order Granting Motion to Withdraw Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity, ACS v. SciMed, 98-1108 (S.D. Indiana) (05/19/2000)			
	,	Brief for Plaintiffs-Appellants Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. and Guidant Sales Corporation, ACS v. SciMed, Appeal No. 00-1454 (Fed. Cir.) (09/01/2000)			
		Brief for Defendants-Appellees SciMed Life Systems, Inc. and Boston Scientific Corporation, ACS v. SciMed, Appeal No. 00-1454 (Fed. Cir.) (10/30/2000)			
		Reply Brief for Plaintiffs-Appellants Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. and Guidant Sales Corporation, ACS v. SciMed, Appeal No. 00-1454 (Fed. Cir.) (11/29/2000)			
		Decision, ACS v. SciMed, Appeal No. 00-1454 (Fed. Cir.) (08/06/2001)			
		Notice of Opposition, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (04/21/2000)			
		Notice of Opposition, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (05/03/2000)	:		
SC SC		Response to Notices of Opposition, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings 02/07/2001)	-		

	Complete if Known		
Substitute for form 1449B/PTO	Application Number	90/007,890	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	January 23, 2006	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Lilip Lau	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Group Art Unit	3993	
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	Sara Clarke	
Sheet 5 of 7	Attomey Docket Number	ACS 74381 (0380CXDDD2-RX)	

		OTHER PRIOR ART NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹ .	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.								
SC		Reply to Response to Notices of Oppositions, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (06/18/2001)								
		Remarks Regarding Response to Opposition, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings 05/16/2002)								
		Response to Remarks Regarding Response to Opposition, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (05/17/2002)								
		Facts and Submissions (Grounds for Decision), EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (07/04/2002)								
,		Minutes of oral proceedings and decision with corresponding documents, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (07/18/2002)								
		Notice of Opposition, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (08/19/2002)								
		Notice of Appeal, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (09/17/2002)								
		Submission regarding Grounds of Appeal, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (11/28/2002)								
		Claims, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (11/29/2002)								
		Response to Submission Requesting Appeal, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (06/16/2003)								
	·	Preliminary Assessment of Appeal by Technical Board of Appeal, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (01/30/2004)								
		Response to Preliminary Assessment of Appeal by Technical Board of Appeal by Opponent, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (06/01/2004)								
		Response to Preliminary Assessment of Appeal by Technical Board of Appeal by Patentee, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (06/07/2004)								
SC SC		Minutes of oral proceedings and decision of Technical Board of Appeal, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (07/08/2004)								

	Complete if Known				
Substitute for form 1449B/PTO	Application Number	90/007,890			
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	January 23, 2006			
	First Named Inventor	Lilip Lau			
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Group Art Unit	3993			
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	Sara Clarke			
Sheet 6 of 7	Attorney Docket Number	ACS 74381 (0380CXDDD2-RX)			

		OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Cite No ¹ .		magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.								
		Notification of decision, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (08/12/2004)								
SC			:							
		Maintenance of the Patent with the Documents Specified in the Final Decision, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (08/20/2004)								
		Decision to Maintain the European Patent in Amended Form, EP 0 807 424 Opposition Proceedings (12/15/2004)								
		Termination of the opposition proceedings with maintenance of patent (01/28/2005)								
		Notice of Opposition, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (10/22/1998)								
		Notice of Opposition, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (10/23/1998)								
		Letter enclosing new citation to 10-23-1998 Opposition by Terumo, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (12/21/1998)								
		Reply of Patent Proprietor to Notices of Opposition, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (06/14/1999)								
		Letter Responding to Patentee's 06-14-1999 Letter by Opponent Dr. Schmiedl with Attachments, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (10/18/1999)								
		Reply to DOBS by Novis Srl, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (01/05/2000)								
		Letter from Proprietor enclosing Declaration of Gary Schniederman and First Auxiliary Request, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (08/17/2000)								
		Minutes of Oral Proceedings and Decision, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (10/24/2000)								
		Claims, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (10/24/2000)								
SC		Opposition Divisions' Decision revoking EP '290, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (10/24/2000)								

	Complete if Known				
Substitute for form 1449B/PTO	Application Number	90/007,890			
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	January 23, 2006			
	First Named Inventor	Lilip Lau			
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Group Art Unit	3993			
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	Sara Clarke			
Sheet 7 of 7	Attorney Docket Number	ACS 74381 (0380CXDDD2-RX)			

Examiner	Cite	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book,	
xaminer Initials*	No ¹ .	magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	
sc		Statements of Grounds of Appeal, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (03/02/2001)	
		Schmiedl's Submission in Answer to Appeal, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (06/27/2001)	
		Novis Srl's Request that Appeal be Rejected, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (08/17/2001)	
		Terumo's Response to Patentee's Appeal, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (09/12/2001)	
	···	Withdrawal of Opposition by Dr. Schmiedl, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (10/15/2001)	
		Letter from Patentee Amending Main Request, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (05/30/2003)	
		Minutes of Oral Proceedings and Decision, EP 0 504 290 Opposition Proceedings (07/10/2003)	
		Notification of Decision and Appeal Board Decision, 05-30-2003 (09/18/2003)	
		Termination of the Opposition Proceedings with the Revocation of Patent, 05-30-2003 (09/23/2003)	
		Medtronic's Motion for New Trial Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.59(a), ACS v. Medtronic Vascular, Inc., 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (04/18/2005)	
		ACS's Response to Medtronic's Motion for New Trial Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(a), ACS v. Medtronic Vascular, Inc., 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (06/17/2005)	
sc sc		Medtronic's Reply Brief in support of its Motion for New Trial Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P.59(a), 98-80-SLR (D. Del.) (07/18/2005)	

Caladex90f-Glaims80-SLR

9 47 000	• • • • •	

Application/Control No. Document 704-2

lo. Applicant(s)/Patent under Filed 12/2992000 tionPage 86 of 89

90/007,890

6066168

Examiner

Art Unit

Sara S. Clarke

3993

Rejected Allowed

(Through numeral) Cancelled Restricted

Non-Elected N Interference

Appeal Α 0 Objected

Cl	aim	Γ				Dat	е.				Г	Cla	aim				ח	ate				1	CI	aim				Г	Date	
			_		_	اعر	Ť	Г	П		}	010		\vdash	Т	Т	Ť	T	Т	Т	Т	1	<u> </u>	all 11				1		_
Final	Original	12/6/06										Final	Original										Final	Original			ar			
\vdash	1	1					H	\vdash			-		51	\vdash	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+-	1		101	_	_	<u> </u>		\vdash	_
-	2	V	_	-	-	-	╁	-	\vdash	_	-		52	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	$^{+}$	+	+	1		102	_		-		\vdash	_
	3	1		-	 	-	1	1	一				53	\vdash	1	\dashv	$^{+}$	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	1		103		_		_	\Box	
	4	1		_	_	_	 	1	\vdash				54		7	十	\dagger	\top	1	\top	+	1		104	_		\vdash		\sqcap	
	5	1					1				Ī		55	1		\dashv	\top	十	_	\top	 	1		105					П	_
	6	1			T		İ	1					56		_	_	_	7	十	\neg	+	1		106					П	_
	7	1					Ì						57			\neg	寸	7		7	1	1		107					П	_
	8	=					1	Т	Г	_			58				T		T			1		108					\sqcap	
	9	=					T	T	Π	_	[59	\Box		\neg	T		T			1		109					П	
	10	=											60				T	Т	T					110					П	
	11	1											61						Т]		111						
	12	1											62				I		\perp					112						
	13	٧											63			\Box	floor	I		$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{\Box}}}$				113						
	14	1							<u> </u>				64											114						
	15	1											65											115						
	16	1											66											116						
	17	=			_				L				67				\perp	\perp			\perp			117					Ш	
	18	1			L	_	L		L				68				\perp	\perp			_			118						
	19							_	_	_	L		69			_	┙	\perp	_	\perp				119						
	20									_	L		70	_			_	\perp	_					120					Ш	_
	21				_		L				Ļ		71	\dashv	_		_	_	_					121						
<u> </u>	22					L.	<u> </u>		_		L		72	\dashv	_	_	_	4	4	_	_			122					Ш	
<u> </u>	23						_	<u> </u>		_			73		_			4	4	_	_			123						
	24			_			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		_	L		74		_	_	_	_	_	_	↓_	1		124	_					
<u> </u>	25	Ш			_	_	L	ļ			-		75	_	_	_	_	4	4	4	_			125				_		
<u> </u>	26		_		<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			-		76		_	\dashv	4	4	4	_	1	1		126	_			_	_	
<u> </u>	27	_			<u> </u>	_	-	 -			-		77		-	\dashv	4	+	+	+	\bot			127					_	
 	28		_		<u> </u>					4	-		78		-	-	-		+		-			128	_		_		\dashv	
	29						-			\dashv	H		79	_	-+	\dashv	+	+	+	-	-			129			_		\dashv	
	30				<u> </u>		⊢	-		\dashv	-		80	_		+					-			130	_				\dashv	
	31 32	\vdash	_			H			-		-		81			+	+	+	4	+	+	1		131	-		<u> </u>		\dashv	_
	33			_				-		\dashv	-		82	-	\dashv	+	+	+	+	-	-	-		132	_				-	
	34				-	-		├	\vdash	\dashv	}		83 84	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+-			133 134			H		\dashv	—
	35	Н			H				H		}		85		+		+	+	+	+	+			135			H		-	
	36				-	_		\vdash	H		-		86	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+			136			-		\dashv	_
	37						-	\vdash	H		-		87	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	\dashv	+	+			137			\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	
\vdash	38	-			-			-	\vdash				88	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1		138	_	-	-	_	\dashv	-
\vdash	39			_	\vdash	-		 	-				89	-	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+-	1		139			H		\dashv	-
	40	-			_		_	-	\vdash	-	H		90	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 1		140			H		\dashv	
	41				Н	-		\vdash					91	-	+	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	1		141	\dashv	-	-	-	\dashv	-
—	42				П						r		92	-	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	$^{+}$	+	+	1		142	ᅥ	-	Н	_	\dashv	\dashv
	43				П			 			f		93	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\top	\dagger	+	\top	+-	1		143			П	\dashv	\dashv	_
	44							Т		\neg	ľ		94	\dashv	1	\dagger	\top	\dagger	\top	\dashv	1	1		144			П	\dashv	\dashv	\neg
	45												95		\dashv	\dagger	\dagger	\top	\top	+	1-	1		145	\dashv		Т	\dashv	_	
	46								Γ-		Ī		96	\dashv	寸	T	\top	_	\top		1	1		146					\dashv	_
	47												97	\sqcap	\dashv	T	\top	\top	7		1	1		147				_	\dashv	
	48										Ī		98			T	1		1			1 1		148					\neg	
	49										Γ		99			T	T	7	1		T	1		149				\neg	\top	\neg
	50							<u> </u>		П	Г		100	T	T		Т	Т	T			1 1		150				ヿ	\neg	\neg



	Application/Control	No.	Applicant(s)/P	atent under
Do	cument 704-2	Filed	12/ R99/2000 16tio	ⁿ Page 87 of 89
	90/007,890		6066168	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Sara S. Clarke		3993	

SEARCHED													
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner										
623	1.15,1.16 search updated	12/06	Slne										

SE. (INCLUDING	ARCH NOTE SEARCH S	S TRATEGY)	
		DATE	EXMR
NONE		12/06	Schan
_			
	-		
			i
·			

	INTERFERENCE SEARCHED										
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner								
	1.										

Reexamination	
Reexammation	L

Application/Control No. Pocument 704-2 90/007,890 Certificate Date

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Releasining/1207/2006 6066168
Certificate Number

Page 88 of 89

Requester Correspondence Address:			Patent Owner	⊠ Third Party						
Pillsbury Wintt P.O. Box 1050 McLean, Va 23		,		·						
LITIGATION I	(6	examiner initials) Name	sc	12/7/06 (date) Director Initials						
Advanced Ca	rdio Sys, et al v. Medtro Ct of Dela									
				7						
COPENDING OFFICE PROCEEDINGS										
TYPE OF PROCEEDING				NUMBER						
1. NONE										
2.										
3.										
4.										



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov



Bib Data Sheet

CONFIRMATION NO. 5461

SERIAL NUMB 90/007,890	ER	FILING OR 371(c)	(CLASS 623	GROUP ART UNIT 3993		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 067448-0000004					
APPLICANTS 6066168, Residence Not Provided; ADVANCED CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS INC. (OWNER), Santa Clara, CA; Jack S Barufka(3RD PTY REQ.), McLean, VA; Jack S Barufka, McLean, VA *** CONTINUING DATA This application is a REX of 09/055,582 04/06/1998 PAT 6,066,168 which is a DIV of 08/783,097 01/14/1997 PAT 5,735,893 which is a DIV of 08/556,516 11/13/1995 PAT 5,603,721 which is a DIV of 08/581,790 07/28/1994 PAT 5,514,154 which is a CIP of 08/164,986 12/09/1993 ABN which is a CON of 07/783,558 10/28/1991 ABN *** FOREIGN APPLICATIONS ************************************												
met Verified and Acknowledged	nitials	COUNTRY	DRAWING		CLAI 18		CLAIMS 2					
ADDRESS 24201												
TITLE EXPANDABLE STENTS AND METHOD FOR MAKING SAME												
RECEIVED	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					All Fees 1.16 Fees (Filing) 1.17 Fees (Processing Ext. of time) 1.18 Fees (Issue) Other Credit						